3

Vedanā (Feeling)

A study of the 2nd aggregate Theme: A reflection on the Datthabba Sutta, **S 36.5**/4:207 by Piya Tan ©2005

1 The many facets of feeling

1.0 There is a common notion in western philosophy and popular belief that <u>our behaviour is not fully determined by our conscious mind</u>. Both Benedict de Spinoza¹ and Sigmund Freud,² for example, have remarked that our behavior is determined only partially by our conscious "will," but much more by something else. For Spinoza, this something is our <u>feeling</u>, and for Freud it is our <u>unconscious</u>. Often, these forces—volition versus feeling and the unconscious—bring about conflicts. Buddhism is aware of both forces and teaches that when we are no longer attached to our feeling, we will clean up and tame our unconscious,³ that is, the latent tendencies; then, we are free. As will be evident, Buddhism gives detailed and effective teachings concerning feeling and consciousness. To begin with, it is stated in **the Kim Mūlaka Sutta** (A 10.58), that "all mental states flow along with feeling."

1.1 DEFINITION OF FEELING. Firstly, we should have a working definition of "**feeling**" (*vedanā*), and how it is different from "emotion" [1.3]. In early Buddhist psychology, feeling refers to the affective (or hedonic) tone that arises in connection with sense-perception, that is, <u>pleasant feeling</u> (*sukhā vedanā*), <u>painful feeling</u> (*dukkhā vedanā*) and <u>neutral feeling</u> (*adukkham-asukhā vedanā*), which is the first classification of feeling in **the Vedanā Saṃyutta**.⁵

Furthermore, a pleasant feeling, painful feeling or neutral feeling can be <u>physical</u> ($k\bar{a}yika$) (arising through the 5 physical senses) or <u>mental</u> (cetasika) (arising through the mind), totaling <u>6</u> types of feelings in all. The Abhidhamma classification, however, has only five types of feeling, taking neutral feeling as being <u>mental only</u>, that is, we are only aware that a feeling is present (or not).

The Majjhima Commentary says that it is not easy to be mindful of <u>neutral feeling</u>, and that it should be best approached by way of inference, by noting the absence of both pleasant and unpleasant feelings. It illustrates with the example of a hunter seeing tracks before and after a rock, thereby inferring the tracks of an animal (MA 1:277).

The suttas say that pleasant and unpleasant sights, sounds, smells, and tastes, in turn, condition the arising of corresponding feelings of pleasure, or of displeasure. The **Dhamma,saṅgaṇī**, however, says that <u>only the sense of touch</u> is accompanied by pain or pleasure, while feelings arising at the other four sense-doors are invariably neutral. As **Analayo** notes,

http://dharmafarer.org

69

¹ Spinoza (1632-1677), independent Rationalist philosopher and religious thinker of Portuguese Jewish descent, who formulated one of the most important metaphysical systems in Western philosophy.

² Freud (1856-1939), an Austrian (of Jewish descent) neurologist and founder of the psychoanalytic school of psychology, best known for his theories of sexual desire, repression, dreams, and the unconscious mind.

³ On <u>consciousness</u>, see *Viññāṇa*, SD 17.8a, and see also **The unconscious**, SD 18.8b.

⁴ Vedanā samosaranā sabbe dhammā (A 10.58/5:107).

⁵ Samādhi S (S 36.1/4:204); see also Mahā Satipaṭṭhāna S (D 22.11/2:298), SD 13.2 = Satipaṭṭhāna S (M 10,32/1:59) SD 13.3; and also D 3:275; S 2:53, 82, 4:207; A 3:400. See also SD 13.1 (5B).

⁶ Cūļa Vedalla S (M 44,23/1:302), SD 40a.9; Sall'atthena S (S 36.6/4:208), SD 5.5. Other classifications of feelings are given below [4].

⁷ Vbh 72; Dhs 133.

⁸ S 4:115, 119, 125, 126.

⁹ Dhs 139-145; Abhds 2.

This *Abhidhammic* presentation offers an intriguing perspective on observing feeling, since it invites an inquiry into the degree to which an experience of delight or displeasure in regard to sight, sound, smell or taste is simply the outcome of one's own mental evaluation.

(Anālayo 2003:171)

1.2 FEELING AND SENSATION

1.2.1 Is feeling the same as sensation? How is feeling different from emotion, or are they the same? *Vedanā* is sometimes translated as "sensation," rather than "feeling," but is this right? **Sue Hamilton,** in her study of the 5 aggregates, *Identity and Experience*, notes that

it could be argued that "sensation" is a word which is more readily associated with neutrality than is "feeling," which is more associated in our minds with pleasure or pain. The word "sensation" also implies a connection with the senses, which might be said to be more appropriate to $vedan\bar{a}$, which requires the coming together of a sense organ and its corresponding sense object in order to arise.

But "feeling" can be used neutrally: it is not uncommon to say "I feel indifferent about that." And not only is it commonly accepted that feelings require sensory activity, even if this is not obviously implicit in the word itself, but in the Buddha's analysis of the *khandhas* this does not apply only to the *vedanākhandha* but to all four of the *arūpakhandhas*. The main reason I prefer the use of the word "feeling" rather than "sensation" in translating *vedanā* is, however, because I suggest that *vedanā* has a cognitive dimension which is conveyed by the word "feeling" but not by "sensation." (1996a:45)

1.2.2 This <u>cognitive dimension</u> of *vedanā* is clearly evident from its etymology. *Vedanā* is the abstract noun of *vedeti*, "he senses, knows, experiences," and also the related forms *vediyati*, *paţisam-vedeti* and *paṭisamvediyati*, ¹¹ all of which have cognitive connotations. As such, it is important to note that *vedanā* has both a <u>cognitive dimension</u> and <u>an affective aspect</u>, or <u>an intellectual sense (to know)</u> and <u>an experiential sense (to feel)</u>. ¹² **Hamilton** continues her helpful analysis of *vedanā* thus:

The cognitive role of *vedanā* is unsubtle: one might say that it is affective rather than intellectual cognition. It is nevertheless significant enough to be an important factor in understanding the role of the *vedanākhandha* correctly. From a psychological point of view, it is not difficult for us to understand that *vedanā* is part of the cognitive process. We know, for example, that feelings can be expressed cognitively: if we say we are feeling sad, we also mean that we *know* that we are experiencing sadness. We also commonly refer to feelings as a vague level of knowledge in expressions such as "I have the feeling that this is correct," or "I feel there is something wrong here." Thus *vedanā* plays a part, however nebulous, in the cognitive process of an individual.

It is perhaps significant that vedayita, the (irregular) past passive participle of the verb vedeti, from which $vedan\bar{a}$ comes, is often interpreted as meaning "experienced" rather than "felt." And "experience" might be a better translation of $vedan\bar{a}$ when it is found in the context of the cogni-

¹⁰ PED: *vedanā*: "feeling, sensation." E Pio, *Buddhist Psychology: A modern perspective*, 1988:8, "*feeling or sensation*." FJ Varela et al, *The Embodied Mind*, 1991:64 "feelings/sensations." M Boisvert, *The Five Aggregates*, 1995:ix (elsewhere he renders it as "emotion or feeling," 4; index: *vedanā*).

¹¹ Vedeti is from √VID, "to know." Usages incl: phuṭṭho vedeti, phuṭṭho ceteti, phuṭṭho sañjānāti, "contacted, one feels; contacted, one intends; contacted, one perceives" (S 4:68; S:B 1408 n67); or the form vediyati, "he knows (as) or calls," as in taṁ...muniṁ vediyanti., "(the wise) know (or call) him as a sage" (Sn 211 f). More commonly, vediyati tr as "he feels or experiences a feeling," usu as vedanaṁ vediyati (M 1:59, 2:70; S 2:82, 3:86 f, 4:207; A 1:41, 2:198). The form paṭisaṁvedeti, "he feels, experiences, undergoes," is also common (D 1:43, 45; A 1:157 (domanassaṁ p), 4:406 (id); also the by-form, paṭisaṁvediyati (S 2:18, 75, 256; It 38).

¹² See Hamilton 1996a:45 f. See also **Viññāṇa**, SD 18.8a.8.

tive process as a whole: in English to state that cognition necessarily involves experience is more readily acceptable than stating that it necessarily involves feelings. (1996a:46)

1.2.3 Georges Dreyfus, in his paper, "Meditation as ethical activity," makes a similar note on the overlapping of cognitivity and affectivity in Buddhist psychology: ¹³

Keown uses Aristotle's binary opposition between the cognitive and the affective to explicate Buddhist ideas. Buddhists do recognize these aspects of mind, for instance, in the concept that wisdom is cognitive whereas attachment and compassion are affective. However, I would argue that applying a binary model to Buddhist psychology is inappropriate, for it forces a number of mental factors such as mindfulness, enthusiasm, and deliberation into one of the two sides of the dichotomy. The Buddhist view emphasizes that these mental factors are common to both affective and cognitive states. Any mental state in which the degree of attention is sufficient is said to contain these mental factors. I would like to argue that from a Buddhist perspective, these factors are neither strictly affective nor cognitive in and of themselves, but are best described as enabling either side. Buddhist models of the psyche do not conform to the opposition cognitive-affective, and forcing them into this mode distorts the picture. (Dreyfus 1995:36)

1.2.4 However, we cannot really avoid talking in dual terms, as it is the way of the world and we are communicating with the world. As such, it is vital (for keeping the Dharma as a living experience) that we understand this approach is only a conventional expedience, a way of talking and conveying the helping and liberating truth. We need two hands to loudly clap; a bird flies on two wings; but there is only one sound and only one bird. The purpose of understanding all this is to bring about a wise and joyful oneness of heart and mind.¹⁴

1.3 FEELING AND EMOTION

- **1.3.1** Then there is the question of the connection between feeling ($vedan\bar{a}$) and "emotion." Sadly, "emotion" is one of most nebulous of English words: "Historically this term has proven utterly refractory to definitional efforts; probably no other term in psychology shares its indefinability with its frequency of use." ¹⁵
- **1.3.2** The word "**emotion**" comes from the Middle French *mouvoir* (to move), which in turn comes from Latin *exmovēre*, *emovēre* (to move out, move away). ¹⁶ In other words, an emotion is a feeling, usually one that is *overt or expressed*, often directed to others to inform or influence them. The problem is compounded by the fact that there are the lay (common) usages of the word and the technical (specialist) senses of the term.
- **1.3.3** Here are examples of conventional usages of "**emotion**" taken from *Webster's 3rd New International Dictionary*:

1a (obsolete): a physical or social agitation, disturbance, or tumultuous movement.

1b: turmoil or agitation in feeling or sensibility (the nerveless dreamer, who spends his life in a weltering sea of sensibility and ~ —William James).

1c: a physiological departure from homeostasis that is subjectively experienced in strong feeling (as of love, hate, desire or fear) and manifests itself in the neuromuscular, respiratory, cardio-

¹³ Here, Dreyfus is criticizing Keown's use [*The Nature of Buddhist Ethics*, 1992:210] of Aristotle's binary opposition between the cognitive and the affective to explicate Buddhist ideas.

¹⁴ See SD 49.5b (0.3.4).

¹⁵ AS Reber, *The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology*, 1985: emotion.

¹⁶ From ex-, e- (out) + $mov\bar{e}re$ (to move).

vascular, hormonal, and other bodily changes preparatory to overt acts which may or may not be performed—often used in [the] plural (how can I describe my ~s at this catastrophe—Mary W Shelley).

1d: a state of strong feeling (as of fear, anger, disgust, grief, joy, or surprise) \langle he felt a sudden rage, but controlled the \sim \rangle .

2a: the affective aspect of consciousness: FEELING (we are not men of reason, we are creatures of \sim —C C Furnas).

2b: a reaction of or effect upon this aspect of consciousness \langle the essential \sim of the play is the feeling of a son toward a guilty mother—T S Eliot \rangle .

- 3: the quality (as of a song or melody) that arouses an emotion, esp a pleasant one \langle the melody of the song voices the \sim , the appeal—Anatole Chujoy \rangle .
- **4**: an expression of feeling, esp strong feeling (the king moves anonymously among his men listening to their ~s about the war—Delmore Schwartz). **Syn** see FEELING.
- **1.3.4** We cannot be certain even of <u>the technical meanings of "emotion</u>," as evident from this selection and summary of definitions from A S Reber's *The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology* (1985):
 - **1.** An umbrella term for any of a number of subjectively experienced, affect-laden states the ontological status of each being established by a label whose meaning is arrived at by simple consensus. This is the primary use of the term in both the technical and the common language. It is what we mean when we say that *love*, *fear*, *hate*, *terror*, etc are emotions.
 - **2.** A label for a field of scientific investigation that explores the various environmental, physiological and cognitive factors that underlie these subjective experiences... [Here,] nearly all contemporary theories of emotion recognize four classes of factors:
 - (a) *investigating stimuli:* these may be exogenous (events in the world) or endogenous (thoughts, images);
 - (b) *physiological correlates:* included here are general biological systems (central and autonomic nervous-system activities) as well as more specific patterns of action (eg, thalamic-hypothalamic interactions);
 - (c) *cognitive appraisal:* the personal significance of an event dictates to a considerable extent the emotions aroused, thus snarling tigers behind clearly strong cage bars do not result in fear, panic or flight;
 - (d) *motivational properties:* emotional arousal is almost always viewed as playing a role in impelling activity.

In addition to these recognized correlates of emotion, the term generally carries a number of other connotations:

- (1) Emotional states are generally regarded as *acute*. They are accompanied by relatively short-lived levels of arousal and desire to act: fear, joy, disgust, pity, love, etc ... [motivate] activity and then subside. This sense helps to distinguish it from terms like *sentiment*.
- (2) Emotions are regarded as intensely experienced states; the point here is to distinguish an emotion from a *feeling*.
- (3) Emotional states are often behaviorally disorganized. This is particularly the case with extreme states of rage, terror, desperate grief and the like where the individual's behavior may be errativ, chaotic and lacking in organization.
- (4) Emotions are, to a certain extent, evolutionarily determined and reflect species-specific survival strategies of considerable genetic antiquity.
- (5) Emotional reactions tend to be non-habitual and to result from particular constraints of the environment and how it is appraised ... [Compared to other biochemical behaviours such as

hunger and thirst] emotional states are not cyclical or regular but are dependent on specific situations and how they are evaluated for their personal significance.

(A S Reber, *The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology*, 1985: emotion)

- **1.3.5** Neuroscientists have apparently discovered a good reason why the vast Buddhist psychological vocabulary <u>does not include "emotion"</u> (as understood by the scientists). The Buddhist exclusion of "emotion" from the language of the mind is quite consistent with what scientists have come to learn about the brain's anatomy. Every region in the brain <u>that has been identified with some aspect of emotion has also been identified with aspects of cognition</u>. ¹⁷ "The circuitry that supports affect and the circuitry that supports cognition are completely intertwined—an anatomical arrangement consistent with the Buddhist view that these processes cannot be separated." (P Ekman et al 2005:1)
- **1.4 PERCEPTUAL ROLE OF FEELING.** *Vedanā*, often contrary to what the general overtness and expressiveness of an "emotion," is <u>essentially a covert quality</u>. In fact, it is part of the mental process involving both perception $(sa\tilde{n}n\tilde{a})^{18}$ and consciousness $(vi\tilde{n}n\tilde{a}na)^{19}$ Feeling $(vedan\bar{a})$ is a key factor in **the perceptual process**. The canonical theory of the perceptual process comprises <u>six stages</u>, namely:
- (i) The first stage is that of **sense-consciousness**, for example, <u>visual consciousness</u> (*cakkhu*, *viññāṇa*), which arises with the eye and a visual object as its conditions. At this point, it is bare sensation before the object is fully apprehended (which is similarly understood in the Abhidhamma).
- (ii) The second stage is the process of **sensory impression** (*cakkhu*, *samphassa* or *phassa*), defined as the conjunction of the sense-organ, the sense-object and sense-consciousness (*tinnam sangati phasso*, M 18.16/1:111).
- (iii) The third stage is **feeling** ($vedan\bar{a}$), which refers to the hedonic tone or affective value of the resultant experience.
- (iv) The fourth stage is **perception** or apperception ($sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$). The fact that $vi\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a\bar{n}a$ (bare sensation) occurs before $sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ [bare reaction] shows that $sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ represents a more complex form of awareness. While $vi\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a\bar{n}a$ refers to mere sensory awareness, $sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ "suggests a state of awareness obtained by introducing distinctions to the earlier stage of bare awareness" (Karunadasa 2001: 211).
- (v) The fifth stage is **thinking** (*vitakka*, often translated as "initial application (of thought)"), suggesting a stage where the perceived object is *interpreted*.
- (vi) The sixth and last stage is called **mental proliferation** (*papañca*) that "hints at the tendency of the individual's imagination to break loose" (Ñāṇananda 1971:4). This is a very complex level of experience that is coloured and filtered by our desires and prejudices.²²

Having said that, it is helpful to understand that the 6^{th} and last stage here is not necessarily always a thought "explosion" (how *papañcs* can be imagined to work), but it can simply lead to a new or renewed perception, so that we are caught in a perceptive loop, spiralling into more perceptions. This whole process is of course a mental proliferation.

¹⁷ Eg Davidson & Irwin 1999.

¹⁸ See *Saññā*, SD 17.4.

¹⁹ See *Viññāna*, SD 17.8a.

²⁰ Sue Hamilton, in *Identity and Experience*, 1996a, uses the term "cognitive process" (see index), while here I use "perceptual process." I think it is better to reserve the former for the *viññāṇa,kicca* or *citta,vīthi*.

²¹ See **Madhu,piṇḍika S** (M 18), SD 16.14 (4). Discussed in greater detail in *Saññā*, SD 17.4. See also Analayo, *Satipatthāna: The direct path to realization*, 2003:222-226. For original ref, see 3.1 below.

²² Karunadasa argues that in this sixfold process, the final stage of perception is not "mental proliferation" (as proposed by Sarathchandra, 1958 & Nāṇananda 1971:5 ff) but actually "perception" (stage 4) since "what follows $sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ could be understood not as a process of sense-perception but as a purely ideational process set up by a process of perception. In point of fact, both Sarathchandra and Nāṇananda (1971:5 ff, 41 ff) explain the stages subsequent to $sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ as a process of interpretation and judgement." (2001:212).

As we shall see below in the two chapters on perception $(sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\tilde{a})^{23}$ and consciousness $(vi\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\tilde{a}na)^{24}$ they are both part of the perceptual process. Formations $(sankh\bar{a}ra)^{25}$ are not directly involved in this process, and they are what we normally understand as "emotions."

2 Feeling, love and sex

2.1 LOVE

2.1.1 Feeling ($vedan\bar{a}$), as we have seen [1.3], is not "emotion" as it is commonly understood, but refers to an important aspect of the 6 types of sense-experiences: seeing, hearing, smelling, tasting, touching, and thinking. The feelings of each of these sense-experiences are evaluated or "toned" in different ways in English, and notice that they are <u>subjective</u>, <u>covert qualities</u>, for example:

, .	Craving	Repulsion	Delusion and ignorance
	[like]	[dislike]	[is ignored]
Seeing:	beautiful, attractive	ugly, repulsive	plain, average
Hearing:	soothing, sonorous	jarring, dull, grating	monotonous, uninteresting
Smelling:	sweet, fragrant, balmy	offensive, rancid, acrid	odourless, deodourized
Tasting:	delicious, sweet, rich	sour, bitter, bad-tasting	flat, bland, tasteles
Feeling:	nice, soft, comfortable	hurting, smarting	neutral, numb, stiff
Minding:	interesting, stimulating	heavy, dull, boring	indifferent, apathetic

- **2.1.2** The 3 kinds of feelings actually colour, even distort, the way we perceive the world and others, that is, as *being loved, unloved and unthought of*, or as *friend, foe and stranger*. In an almost simplistic predictable way, we regard those who arouse pleasure in us as our beloved or as <u>friends</u>; those who provoke an unpleasant feeling as the unloved or as <u>foes</u>; and those who bring about only neutral feeling (or "no feeling"), we disregard them or regard them as <u>strangers</u>.
- **2.1.3** Our understanding of **love** is often profoundly confusing. On a personal level, when someone falls in love and says "I love so and so," what he really means is that he is infatuated by that person's form, sound, smell, taste, or touch, or by his perception of that person. "Falling in love" is really about the <u>past</u> because our attraction to another is really simply a *reminder*, usually subconscious, even subliminal, of someone we have been familiar with, whether in this life or before that.
- **2.1.4** Falling in love is like window-shopping and then buying an item on impulse, only to regret later because we have fallen out of love with it. It is *staying in love*, or even better, *growing in love*, that promises true happiness when both (a couple) or all parties (in the case of a family or community) bond closer in the discovery of one another's more wholesome qualities, thus letting go of unwholesome ones. In short, we do not *find* friends, we have to *make* friends.²⁷

2.2 WHY SEX?

2.2.1 Wholesome love. The danger of falling in love is that we are <u>only attracted to a part</u>, often isolated piece, of a person. The disillusionment begins and grows as the other less familiar parts reveal themselves. **The Saññoga Sutta** (A 7.48) describes this in a graphically psychological way:

²³ See *Saññā*, SD 17.4.

²⁴ See *Viññāṇa*, SD 17.8a.

²⁵ See *Sankhārā*, SD 17.6.

²⁶ "Thinking," here referring to any mental activity: alt: "minding, mentation."

²⁷ An excellent book here is: Erich Fromm, *The Art of Loving*. NY: Harper & Row. London: Allen & Unwin, 1957.

WOMANLINESS. **2** A woman thinks about herself²⁸ in terms of her womanly faculty,²⁹ her womanly ways,³⁰ her womanly looks,³¹ her womanly pride,³² her womanly desires, her womanly voice, her womanly adornments.³³ She is aroused by this and delights in it.³⁴

Thus aroused, she considers another³⁵ in terms of a man's faculty,³⁶ his manly ways, his manly looks,³⁷ his manly pride,³⁸ his manly desires, his manly voice, his manly adornments. She is aroused by this and delights in it.

Thus aroused, she desires external union,³⁹ and she desires the [physical] pleasure and [mental] joy arising on account of such a union.

Bhikshus, attached to 40 her womanliness, she enters into union with men.

In this way, bhikshus, a woman does not rise above her womanliness.

MANLINESS. **3 A man** thinks about himself in terms of his own manly faculty, his manly ways, his manly looks, his manly pride, his manly desires, his manly voice, his manly adornments. He is aroused by this and delights in it.

Thus aroused, he contemplates another in terms of a woman's faculty, her womanly ways, her womanly looks, her womanly pride, her womanly desires, her womanly voice, her womanly adornments. He is aroused by this and delights in it.

Thus aroused, he desires external union,⁴¹ and he desires the pleasure and joy arising on account of such a union.

Bhikshus, attached to his manliness, he enters into union with women.

In this way, bhikshus, a man does not rise above his manliness. (A 7.48/4:57 f), SD 8.7

²⁸ "To herself," *ajjhattai*n, lit "internally."

²⁹ "Womanly faculty," *itth'indriya*, lit "womanly sense-organ." Here referring to the physical features that make one a woman, that is, "womanliness." The term "femininity" usually refers to the <u>psychological</u> aspect of a woman, related to Jung's notions of *anima* and *animus*.

³⁰ "Womanly ways," *itthi,kutta*, eg seductiveness, coquetry, and wiles (AA 4:32). Many of such terms in this sentence and their cognates below are in the Pali singular, which however need to be rendered idiomatically into the English plural.

³¹ "Womanly looks," *itth'ākappa*, ie, her physical looks and how she is dressed (AA 4:32).

³² "Womanly pride," *itthi, vidha*, ie, her pride and conceit (AA 4:32).

³³ "Womanly adornments," *itth 'alankāra*. "adorning the head, the neck, the hands, the feet, the hips (*kaṭi*)" (*sīsû-pago gīvûpago hatthûpago pādûpago kaṭûpago*, V 4:340)

³⁴ "She is aroused by this ..." Here I have rendered *tattha* in the English singular, since such an unskillful person would attend to the features mentioned. In the cognate sentences below [4-5] *tattha* is rendered in the English plural, ie, referring to the various physical features, to which the skillful person remains unattracted.

^{35 &}quot;Another," bahiddhā, lit "outside (of herself)."

³⁶ "Manly faculty," *puris 'indriya*, lit "manly sense-organ." Here referring to the physical features that make one a man, that is, "manliness." The term "masculinity" usually refers to the <u>psychological</u> aspect of a man. Note here in the Sutta that the reference is "in terms of a man's faculty," and not "in another man." This is very significant as this reflects the fact that those sexually attracted to others, consciously or unconsciously, may look for such features that excite them in either sex.

³⁷ "Manly looks," *puris 'ākappa*, ie, his physical looks and how he is dressed. "Looks" here includes his own <u>perception</u> of his own body and the other person's body.

[&]quot;Manly pride," *purisa, vidha*, ie, his pride and conceit.

³⁹ "She desires external union," *bahiddhā saṃyogam ākaṅkhati*. Note that she does not consider her <u>sexual</u> features, but her "womanliness." It is possible to understand here that "man" and "woman" are both this person's own perceptions of gender. See SD 8.7 (3.2).

⁴⁰ "Attached to," *sattā* (V 1:185; D 2:246; Nc 23, 34; Dh 342; J 1:376).

⁴¹ "He desires external union," *bahiddhā saṃyogaṁ ākaṅkhati*. Note thatshe does not consider his <u>sexual</u> features, but his "manliness." It is possible to understand here that "man" and "woman" are both this person's own perceptions of gender. See SD 8.7 (3.2).

- **2.2.2 Most creative, most destructive.** Of all human qualities, sexual feeling is the most creative as well as the most destructive. It is the most creative in its biological function of bringing us forth, but beyond that when misunderstood and uncontrolled, it usually becomes the most destructive of emotions that can be displaced into the many guises of lust. One of the most tragic displacements of sexual feelings is when a person with unresolved sexual issues turns to the monastic life, thinking that it is the best way to be totally free from sexuality. Sadly, such repressive feelings often rear their ugly heads through psychological transference (by the devotee) and counter-transference (by the monastic), fuelled by the monastic's charisma or by blind devotion, usually both.
- **2.2.3 Two kinds of pain. The Sall'atthena Sutta** (S 36.6) makes an important observation here: when an untutored worldling suffers pain, he suffers *twice*: he feels it physically and also mentally, and then he reactively turns to sensual pleasure (sex, drunkenness, quarrels, violence, etc) as a means of escape, "because, bhikshus, the untutored ordinary person knows no other escape than through sensual pleasure."

One cannot simply cast off the clothing of sexuality simply by donning monastic robes. We cannot really hide the nakedness of our sexuality behind the cloth. We must outgrow this narcissistic level of biological want or perception of existential lack. Indeed, sexual feeling is the most selfish of feelings, seeking only to satisfy itself in an insatiable manner. While we can surfeit of food, having eaten our fill, it is not the case with sex.

- <u>2.2.4 Self-lust</u>. An important teaching of **the Saññoga Sutta** (A 7.48), not immediately apparent, is that <u>we must overcome narcissism or self-lust</u>, at least in the physical sense, and cultivate <u>unconditional love</u> or lovingkindness. We begin to be lovingkind by starting to see others as total beings and accepting them as they really are.
- <u>2.2.5 Solitude</u>. Another way we can rise above attachment to sensual pleasure is through regular <u>solitary meditation</u> leading to inner stillness.⁴⁴ Such stillness can lead us in due course to deeper and more joyful states of meditation, even into dhyana. In other words, we can only overcome sexual feelings by discovering <u>a higher pleasure</u>, that of mental calm and dhyana. Only then, we can really rise above our sexuality.⁴⁵

[Section 2.3, "Sexual abuse in religion," has been transferred to SD 64.17 (10)]

3 Feeling and contact

3.1 HOW FEELING ARISES. *Vedanā* is not mere feeling but <u>part of the perceptual process</u> [1.4]. In other words, feeling does not arise by itself. According to **the Phassa,mūlaka Sutta** (S 36.10), feeling, whether pleasant, painful or neutral, are "rooted in contact" (*phassa,mūlaka*), rising in dependence on contact (*phassa*). ⁴⁶ In fact, <u>contact</u> plays a major role in the perceptual process, and we shall now examine it in some detail. The perceptual process is clearly described in **the Madhu,piṇḍika Sutta** (M 18), ⁴⁷ thus:

⁴² S 36.6.8c/4:208 (SD 5.5). Comy: The escape is mental concentration, the path and the fruit, but he does not know this, knowing only sensual pleasure. (SA 3:77)

⁴³ On the words "lovingkindness," and its adj "lovingkind," see SD 38.5 (1.1.3).

⁴⁴ See **Pīti S** (A 5.176/3:206-208), SD 19.8.

⁴⁵ See **Dhvana. SD** 8.4 esp (7): *Nimitta & Anuvvañiana. SD* 19.14: & *Nimitta. SD* 19.7 esp (4).

⁴⁶ S 36.10/4:215,

⁴⁷ See **Madhu,piṇḍika S** (M 18,16/1:111 f), SD 16.14 (4). Discussed in greater detail in **Saññā**, **SD** 17.4. See also Analayo, *Satipaṭṭhāna: The direct path to realization*, 2003:222-226. For a paraphrase, see 1.4 above.

Friends, dependent on the eye and forms, eye-consciousness arises. The meeting of the three is contact.⁴⁸

With contact as condition, there is feeling ($phassa,paccay\bar{a} \ vedan\bar{a}$).

What one feels, one perceives.

What one perceives, one thinks about.⁴⁹

What one thinks about, one mentally proliferates.⁵⁰

What a person mentally proliferates is the source through which <u>perceptions and notions due</u> to <u>mental proliferation</u> impacts one regarding past, future and present forms cognizable through the eye. (M 18,16/1:111 f), SD 6.14

The same process applies mutatis mutandis to all the other five remaining senses. It is from such a process that feeling arises: "with contact as condition, feeling arises" (*phassa,paccayā vedanā*). Contact is the proximate condition for the arising of feeling, and a necessary condition for the perceptual process as a whole.

3.2 FEELING AS THE ROOT OF ALL DOGMAS

3.2.1 Contact and feeling are crucial to the formation of views (*diṭṭhi*), especially wrong views. In the "wisdom" section of **the Brahma,jāla Sutta** (D 1), the Buddha explains how the 62 grounds for wrong views arise from the "feeling of those who know not, merely the agitation and vacillation of those overcome by craving" (§§105-117). Applying the principle of dependent arising, the Buddha goes on to explain such ignorant reactions are in turn caused by contact (*phassa*), that is, through misunderstanding sense-experience (§§118-130). Without contact, none of those views would arise; they all arise through the constant contact in the six sense-spheres (§§131-143). All these are speculative views (*diṭṭhi,gata*), arising from feeling, binding them to the rounds of speculative views and of suffering (§§144). ⁵³

3.2.2 The Dvaya Sutta 2 (S 35.93) explains how consciousness arises dependent on a dyad (*dvaya*) of sense-faculty and sense-object; for example, "dependent on the eye and forms, there arises eye-consciousness" (*cakkhuñ ca paţicca rūpe ca uppajjati cakkhu,viññāṇaṁ*). The meeting of these three—sense-faculty, sense-object and sense-consciousness—is called contact (*phassa*): "contacted [touched], bhik-shus, one feels; contacted, one intends; contacted, one perceives" (*phuṭṭho vedeti, phuṭṭho sañjānāti*). ⁵⁴ And all these processes are impermanent, changing, becoming otherwise.

From all this, it is easy to see the roots of the Creator-God idea: it is extremely difficult to understand the nature of feelings, much less how they work on our lives. Lacking this understanding, humans seek some external form of power to liberate them from the vicissitudes due to feelings: joy and sorrow, pleasure and pain, fear and courage, stress and relief, and so on.

3.2.3 Understandably, the Creator-God idea, being deeply rooted in feeling, cannot be proven in any logical or scientific manner, and can only be sustained by "faith," that is, surrender and obedience to its

⁴⁸ *Tinnam sangati phasso*. For a discussion on this passage, see Bucknell 1999:318 ff.

⁴⁹ "One thinks about," *vitakketi*. On how when thinking stops, desires do not arise, see **Sakka,pañha S** (D 21,2.2-/2:277).

⁵⁰ This verse up to here is also found in (Samuday'atthangama) Loka S (S 12.44/2:71-73), SD 7.5 and (Sabb'-upādāna) Pariññā S (S 35.60/4:32 f), SD 6.17 in different contexts.

⁵¹ *Papañca, saññā, saṅkhā*, see SD 6.14 (3).

⁵² This important passage is the earliest statement on the Buddhist theory of perception. See SD 25.1 (4).

⁵³ D 1,105-144/1:40-45. See Sue Hamilton 1996a:47 f.

⁵⁴ S 25.93/4:68; S:B 1408 n67.

official definition. Whoever defines God, as such, commands power over their believers.⁵⁵ What one does not understand, one often grasps it by the wrong end, and suffer ignorance, craving and pain as a result.

The greatest fear of those who do not understand the true nature of feeling is *change*. Seeing change working through birth, life, decay and death, they think life begins only at birth and ends at death. And since death seems to take away their lives, loved ones, possessions and pleasures, they fear death. Fearing change and death, they fabricate or believe in a Creator God who is eternal and life-giving. The point is whatever.exists.can.only.exist.in.change: without change nothing exists; unless that state is beyond change and stasis—that is, nirvana.

4 Classifications of feelings

4.1 NUMERICAL GROUPS. "Feelings" (*vedanā*) are complex states of mind, not merely "bare awareness" or "anoetic sentience," that is, "pure" feelings or emotions. ⁵⁶ They have some specific content: pleasure, pain, neutral feeling; and that *vedanā* is a necessary, but not a sufficient, condition, for the arising of craving (the subsequent link in the dependent arising cycle). ⁵⁷ They arise through contact with external sense-objects, namely, the seen, the heard, the sensed (smelling, tasting, touching), and the known (*dittha suta muta viññāta*). ⁵⁸

The Aṭṭha,sata Sutta (S 36.22) categorizes feelings into various <u>numerical groups</u> "along the lines that became prominent in the Abhidhamma," thus:

The 2 kinds of feeling: bodily and mental. [4.2]

The 3 kinds of feeling: pleasant feeling, painful feeling, and neutral feeling. [4.3] The 5 kinds of feeling: the physical pleasure faculty, the physical pain faculty, the joy

the 5 kinds of feeling: the physical pleasure faculty, the physical pain faculty, the joy faculty, the displeasure faculty, the equanimity faculty. [4.4]

The 6 kinds of feeling: feeling born of eye-contact, born of ear-contact, born of nose-

contact, born of tongue-contact, born of body-contact, born of

mind-contact. [4.5]

The 18 kinds of feeling: 6 examinations accompanied by joy, 6 examinations accompanied

by displeasure, 6 examination accompanied by equanimity. [4.6]

The 36 kinds of feeling: 6 types of joy of the household life, 6 types of joy of renunciation, 6

types of displeasure of the household life, 6 types of displeasure of renunciation, 6 types of equanimity of household life, 6 types of

equanimity of renunciation. [4.7]

The 108 kinds of feeling: The above 36 feelings in the past, in the future, in the present. [4.8]

(S 36.22/4:231 f)

Let us now examine each of these groups in some detail.

4.2 THE 2 KINDS OF FEELING

4.2.1 Feeling and the awakened. The teaching of the two kinds of feeling—the bodily and the mental—is a psychologically and spiritually very important one. The locus classicus of this teaching is **the**

⁵⁵ An interesting and informative article here is: Norman Council, "Christianity, capitalism, corporations, and the myth of dominion," 2004, downloadable from http://www.doublestandards.org/text/council1.html.

⁵⁶ Jayatilleke 1963:436; Boisvert 1996:53.

⁵⁷ On necessary and sufficient conditions, see SD 5.16 (6) & 35.1.

⁵⁸ D 3:134 = Nc276 = It 121; D 3:232; Sn 1080, 1122.

⁵⁹ S:B 1129.

⁶⁰ This same list is briefly stated in **Pañcak'aṅga S** (S 36.19/4:224 f), SD 30.1 = **Bahu Vedanīya S** (M 59,5/-1:398), SD 30.4. See [4.3] below.

Sall'atthena Sutta (S 36.6), where the Buddha says that both the unawakened worldling and the arhat experience pleasant feeling, painful feeling and neutral feeling, but each with a difference.

The worldling reacts to pleasant feeling with delight, reinforcing his latent tendency of lust; he reacts to painful feeling with displeasure, reinforcing the latent tendency of ill will; and he fails to notice neutral feeling, reinforcing the latent tendency of ignorance. 61

The arhat, however, simply notes those feelings as they arise in his body without reacting to them [7.2]. As such, although his body is affected by the vicissitudes of feelings, his mind is constantly calm and clear. A similar teaching (S 22.1), but a very short one, is given by the Buddha to the layman Nakula,pitā, and which is elaborated by Sāriputta to him.⁶²

4.2.2 Feeling is a mental event. It is important to understand that although we speak of feeling of the body and of the mind, a feeling is always a mental event. It is part of "name" (nāma) as "name-and-form" (nāma,rūpa).⁶³ Without a mind, we can never feel any sensation. These very same feelings, however, are not felt only in the mind. Any bodily feeling of pain obviously involves the body: we feel bodily pains. In our daily lives, we know that even a mental feeling of anger, for example, also affects the body (we grimace our face, our heart-rate rises, etc). Similarly, mental displeasure, such as being rebuked or having failed a task is reflected in drooping shoulders and dropping the head in dismay and defeat, ⁶⁴ or more happily, mental feelings of zest and joy during dhyana affect the whole body. 65

As such, it is important to understand that it is not that some feelings are only experienced in the body while some are only in the mind. The true situation is where the feeling arises from, that is, which sensedoor is the basis for the arising of the pleasant or the painful feeling. Such experiences understandably must involve both the body and the mind. In other words, it is how the mind responds to the feeling, whether wholesomely or unwholesomely in terms of mindfulness and wisdom. 66

4.3 THE 3 KINDS OF FEELING

4.3.1 In early Buddhist psychology, feeling refers to the affective (or hedonic) tone that arises in connection with sense-perception, that is, a pleasant feeling (sukhā vedanā), a painful feeling (dukkhā $vedan\bar{a}$) and neutral feeling (dukham-asukhā $vedan\bar{a}$), which is the first classification of feeling in **the** Vedanā Samyutta.⁶⁷ This is the most common way in which feelings are classified in the Sutta.⁶⁸

The simplicity of this classification facilitates the perception of impermanence where one notices not only that feelings quickly change, but also that pleasure is the absence of pain, that pain is the absence of pleasure. Neutral feeling is noticed when both pleasurable and painful feelings are present (they do not occur at the same time, but are juxtaposed or occur in close succession). The three kinds of feelings are examined in the Indriva, bhāvanā Sutta (M 152), but there instead of adukkham-asukha (neither pleasant nor painful, ie neutral), the term manāpâmanāpa (both agreeable and disagreeable) is used.

⁶¹ S 36.6/4:207-210 (SD 5.5). ⁶² S 22.1/3:1-5 (SD 5.4).

⁶³ See Sammā Diṭṭhi S (M 9,54/1:53,11), SD 11.14. For def of feeling, see (Paṭicca,samuppāda) Vibhaṅga S (S 12.2.11/2:3 f) n, SD 5.15.

 $^{^{64}}$ D 3:53,26 = M 1:132,34 = 234,4 = 258,31 = 2:154,27 = 3:298,23 \neq A 3:57,13; M 1:132,30, 234,2 = 258,29 = 2:154.25 = 3:187.18 = 208.22 = M 3:298.21 = S 1:124.12 = A $1:186.29 \neq A$ $3:57.11 \neq D$ 3:53.22; V 3:162.4 = A $2:78,24 \approx D \ 3:57,13 = A \ 5:188,18.$

⁶⁵ D 2,75-81/1:73-75, 22,21/2:313 f, M 27,19-22/1:181 f, 141,31/3:252.

⁶⁶ See Analayo 2011:161.

⁶⁷ (Vedanā) Samādhi S (S 36.1/4:204), SD 61.4.

⁶⁸ See Mahā Satipaṭṭhāna S (D 22,11/2:298), SD 13.2 = Satipaṭṭhāna S (M 10,32/1:59), SD 13.3; also D 3:275; S 2:53, 82, 4:207; A 3:400. See also SD 13.1 (5B).

⁶⁹ See n at M 152.4/3:299 (SD 17.13).

4.3.2 A remarkable example of the Buddha's tolerance and skillful means is found in **the Pañcak'-aṅga Sutta** (S 36.19). When the monk Udāyī teaches the carpenter Pañcak'aṅga that there are three kinds of feelings—the pleasant, the painful, and the neutral—Pañcak'aṅga <u>refuses to accept that there is such a thing as neutral feeling</u>. When the Buddha is told about this, he generously replies that both Udāyi and Pañcak'aṅga are right: he has himself taught the various classifications of feeling (two kind, three kinds, and so on) [4.1]. The Buddha goes on to say that *it is not wise to delve into differences*:⁷¹

When the Dharma has been taught by me in a such a way through various methods, it might be that there will be those who will not concur, not allow, not approve of what is well said and well spoken by others, so that strife will arise, quarrels will arise, disputes will occur, so that they dwell stabbing each other with verbal daggers.

But when the Dharma has been taught by me in such a way through various methods, it might be that there will be those who will concur, will allow, will approve of what is well said and well spoken by others, so that they will live in concord, in mutual appreciation, without disputing, mixing like milk and water, looking at each other with kindly eyes.⁷²

(S 36.19,10/4:225), SD 30.1 = **Bahu Vedanīya Sutta** (M 59.5/1:398), SD 30.4

4.4 THE 5 KINDS OF FEELING

4.4.1 The 5 kinds of feelings, according to the suttas, such as **the** (**Indriya**) **Vibhanga Sutta** (S 48.35), are as follows:

the physical pleasure faculty (sukh'indriya),
the physical pain faculty (dukkh'indriya),
the joy faculty (somanass'indriya),
the displeasure faculty (domanass'indriya), and
the equanimity faculty (upekkh'indriya). (S 48.35/5:208 f): see 4.4.1 below.

4.4.2 According to **the Abhidhamma**, all feelings may, according to their nature, be classified into these five kinds, which they termed thus:⁷³

bodily pleasant feeling sukha kāyikā sukhā vedanā; bodily painful feeling kāyikā dukkhā vedanā; dukkha = mentally pleasant feeling cetasikā sukhā vedanā: somanassa mentally unpleasant feeling domanassa cetasikā dukkhā vedanā: neutral feeling upekkhā adukkham-asukhā vedanā.

4.4.3 The details of these five kinds of feelings are given the suttas of **the Sukh'indriya Vagga** of the Samyutta Nikāya. ⁷⁴ More specifically, **the (Indriya) Vibhanga Sutta 1** (S 48.35) defines these five kinds of feelings, thus:

⁷⁰ Also found as **Bahu, vedanīva S** (M 59/1:396-400), SD 30.4.

⁷¹ Evam pariyāya,desito kho ānanda mayā dhammo, evam pariyāya desite kho ānanda mayā dhamme ye aññam-aññassa subhāsitam sulapitam na samanumaññissanti na samanujānissanti na samanumodissanti; tesam etam pāṭi-kankham bhandana,jātā kalaha,jātā vivādâpannā aññam-aññam mukha,sattīhi vitudantā viharissanî ti. | Evam pariyāya,desito kho ānanda mayā dhammo, evam pariyāya desite kho ānanda mayā dhamme ye aññam-aññassa subhā-sitam sulapitam samanumaññissanti samanujānissanti, samanumodissanti, tesam etam pāṭikankham, samaggā sam-modamānā avivadamānā khīrodakī bhūtā aññam-aññam piya,cakkhūhī sampassantā viharissantî ti.

⁷² On this last simile, "mixing like milk and water,...," see **Dhamma,cetiya S** (M 89.11/2:120 f), SD 8.1.6.

⁷³ Vbh 72; Dhs 133. See 1.1 above for the Abhidhamma explanation for there being only one kind of neutral feeling.

⁷⁴ Sukh'indrya Vagga (S 48.31-40/5:207-216).

SD 17.3(4.4)

(Indriya) Vibhanga Sutta 1

Paṭhama (Indriya) Vibhaṅga Sutta The First Discourse on the Analysis (of the Faculties)

Theme: The five emotional faculties

S 48.35/5:208 f

2 Bhikshus, there are these five faculties. What are the five?

The pleasure faculty.

The pain faculty.

The joy faculty.

The displeasure faculty.

The equanimity faculty.

3 (1) And what, bhikshus, is the pleasure faculty?

Whatever bodily pleasure there is, bhikshus, whatever **bodily comfort**, the pleasant comfortable feeling born of body-contact—

This, bhikshus, is called the pleasure faculty.

4 (2) And what, bhikshus, is the pain faculty?

Whatever bodily pain there is, bhikshus, whatever **bodily discomfort**, the painful uncomfortable feeling born of body-contact—

This, bhikshus, is called the pain faculty.

5 (3) And what, bhikshus, is the joy faculty?

Whatever mental pleasure there is, bhikshus, whatever **mental comfort**, the pleasant comfortable feeling born of mind-contact—

This, bhikshus, is called the joy faculty.

6 (4) And what, bhikshus, is the displeasure faculty?

Whatever mental displeasure there is, bhikshus, whatever **mental discomfort**, the painful uncomfortable feeling born of mind-contact—

This, bhikshus, is called the displeasure faculty.

7 (5) And what, bhikshus, is the equanimity faculty?

Whatever feeling there is, bhikshus, whether bodily or mental, that is **neither comfortable nor uncomfortable**—

This, bhikshus, is called the **equanimity** faculty.

8 These, bhikshus, are the five faculties.

_	evam	_

The Commentary explains that, in the expression, "**bodily discomfort**" (*kāyika sāta*), "bodily" means "based on bodily sensitivity" (*kāya-p,pasāda,vatthuka*); "comfort" is merely a synonym for pleasure: it means "sweet" (*madhura*). (SA 3:241)

4.4.4 According to the Abhidhamma, all bodily feelings, that is, feelings arising through bodily sensitivity ($k\bar{a}ya$ -p, $pas\bar{a}da$), are either pleasant or painful, and there is no neutral feeling based on bodily sensitivity. As such, the Sutta Commentary explains "bodily equanimity" as arising based on the other four senses (the eye, ear, nose and tongue) (SA 3:241). Here, $upekkh\bar{a}$ is translated as **equanimity**, and has two main denotations:

⁷⁵ See S:B 1934 n212.

- (1) affective (relating to feeling), which denotes neutral feeling (adukkham-asukha);
- (2) cognitive (as a mental quality), which denotes mental neutrality or impartiality (tatra,majjhattatā).

The Abhidhamma calls (2) $tatra,majjhattat\bar{a}$, and classes it as part of the formations aggregate ($sai-kh\bar{a}ra-k.khandha$). This is the equanimity ($upekkh\bar{a}$) that is the fourth divine abode (impartiality towards all beings), the seventh awakening factor (mental equipoise) and as a mental quality mentioned in the third and fourth dhyanas. ⁷⁶

4.5 THE 6 KINDS OF FEELING

The 6 kinds of feelings are those <u>arising through the six senses</u>, that is, born of eye-contact, born of ear-contact, born of nose-contact, born of tongue-contact, born of body-contact, born of mind-contact. They are listed in **the Saļ-āyatana Vibhaṅga Sutta** (M 137.7).

4.5.1 The (Anicca) Vedanā Sutta (S 25.5). This is a short, simple but very important text in the Okkanta Samyutta, where the reflection on impermanence is prescribed as a sure way of descending (*okkanti*) into the stream to awakening. Due to its importance, the Sutta is given in full here:⁷⁸

SD 17.3(4.5.1)

(Anicca) Vedanā Sutta

The (Impermanent) Feeling Discourse | **S 25.5**/3:226 S 3.4.1.5 = Saṁyutta Nikāya 3, Khandha Vagga 4, Okkant(ik)a Saṁy 1, Cakkhu Vg 5

- 1 At Sāvatthi.
- 2 There the Blessed One said:

Feelings are impermanent

2 "Bhikshus,	
feeling born of eye-contact	is impermanent, changing, becoming otherwise.
Feeling born of ear-contact	is impermanent, changing, becoming otherwise.
Feeling born of nose-contact	is impermanent, changing, becoming otherwise.
Feeling born of tongue-contact	is impermanent, changing, becoming otherwise.
Feeling born of body-contact	is impermanent, changing, becoming otherwise.
Feeling born of mind-contact	is impermanent, changing, becoming otherwise.
_	

The faith-follower

4 ⁷⁹Bhikshus, one who <u>has faith thus</u>, who firmly believes these truths [is convinced of these truths], is called **a faith-follower.** He has entered the fixed course of rightness, entered the plane of superior persons, ⁸⁰ gone beyond the plane of the worldlings. ⁸¹

⁷⁶ For a discussion on the 10 kinds of *upekkhā*, see Vism 4.156-170/160-162.

⁷⁷ M 137,7/3:216 (SD 29.5).

⁷⁸ The first sutta in this important chapter is (Anicca) Cakkhu S (S 25.1), SD 16.7.

⁷⁹ Yo bhikkhave ime dhamme evam <u>saddahati</u> adhimuccati, ayam vuccati **saddhā'nusārī** okkanto sammatta,niyāmam sappurisa,bhūmim okkanto vītivatto puthujjana,bhūmim. The operative verbs here are saddahati ("he has faith (in)") and adhimuccati ("he resolves, adheres to, is sure of"). I have rendered adhimuccati here as "(he) firmly believes...." On the streamwinner's faith, see **Entering the stream**, SD 3.3(5).

⁸⁰ "True persons," *sappurisa*, also "superior persons," also "virtuous person," "ideal person"; often syn with "noble disciple," *ariya*, *sāvaka*, but here clearly includes those, although not yet on the path, but is assured of it, viz

The truth-follower

5 Bhikshus, one who accepts these truths after pondering over them with some wisdom thus, ⁸² is called a **truth-follower**. He has entered the fixed course of rightness, entered the plane of superior persons, gone beyond the plane of the worldlings.

He is incapable of doing any intentional deed by which he might be reborn in hell, or in the animal birth, or in the ghost realm. He is incapable of dying without having attained the fruit of streamwinning.

The streamwinner

6 One who knows and sees these truths thus is called **a streamwinner**, no longer bound for the lower world, 83 sure of going over to self-awakening. 84

He is incapable of doing any intentional deed by which he might be reborn in hell, or in the animal birth, or in the ghost realm. He is incapable of dying without having attained the fruit of streamwinning.⁸⁵



4.5.2 The Cūļa Vedalla Sutta (M 44). There is another set of six kinds of feeling, that is, according to whether they are physical or mental. A pleasant feeling, painful feeling or neutral feeling can be physical (kāyika) (arising through the five physical senses) or can be mental (cetasika) (arising through the mind), making six types of feelings in all. The Abhidhamma classification, as noted above [1.1], has only five types of feeling, taking neutral feeling as being mental only, that is, one is only aware that a feeling is present (or not).

the faith-follower and the truth-follower. The qualities of the *sappurisa* are given at D 33.2.2(6)/3:252, 34.1.8(7)/3:283; M 113; A 7.64/4:113, 8:38/4:144 f & at M 110.14-24/3:23 f.

⁸¹ "Worldling," *puthujjano*, ie, "born of the crowd"; more fully called "untutored worldling," one unskilled (*akovida*), ie, lacks theoretical knowledge of the Dharma, and is undisciplined (*avinīta*), and also lacks practical training in the Dharma. He is not a "seer of the noble ones" (*ariya,dassavī*), ie, of the Buddha and the noble disciples (the saints), because he lacks the wisdom-eye that discerns the truth they have seen. "Noble ones" (*ariya*) and "true persons" (*sappurisa*) are usually synonymous. Cf "instructed noble disciple" at §11. MA 1:20-25; SA 2:98-101, 2:251 f; AA 1:61-63; Nc 75-78; Pm 2:445-449; DhsA 348-354.

⁸² Yassa kho bhikkhave ime dhammā evam paññāya mattaso nijjhānam khamanti.

 $^{^{83}}$ Avin $\bar{i}p\bar{a}ta$, alt tr "not fated for birth in a suffering state"; opp of $vin\bar{i}p\bar{a}ta$, "the world of suffering," another name for the 4 woeful courses (duggati) or the 4 lower worlds ($ap\bar{a}ya$) (Vism 13.92 f). Sometimes 5 woeful courses ($pa\bar{n}-ca,gati$) (D 3:234 = 33.2.1, A 11.68) are mentioned: the hells (niraya), the animal birth ($tirach\bar{a}na,yoni$), the ghost realm (pitti,visaya), the human world (manussa) and the heavenly world (deva). Of these, the first three are woeful, with the asura-demons ($asura,k\bar{a}ya$) as the fourth woeful course. The remaining two are "happy courses" (sugati). For a discussion, see Nyanaponika & Bodhi (tr), Numerical Discourses of the Buddha, 1999:14-19.

⁸⁴ Yo bhikkhave ime dhamme evam jānāti evam passati ayam vuccati sotāpanno avinipāta,dhammo niyato sambodhi,parāyano ti. "This statement makes it clear how the stream-enterer [streamwinner] differs from those on the way to stream-entry. The faith-follower accepts the teachings on trust (with a limited degree of understanding), the Dhamma-follower through investigation; but the stream-enterer has known and seen the teachings directly. I read Se: evam jānāti evam passati." (S:B 1099 n270)

⁸⁵ Abhabbo tam kammam kātum yam kammam katvā nirayam vā tiracchāna, yonim vā petti, visayam vā uppajjeyya. <u>Abhabbo ca tāva kālam kātum yāva na sotāpatti, phalam sacchikaroti</u>. This is the sutta's key statement and clearly refers to what, after the Buddha's time, is referred to as a "lesser streamwinner" (*culla, sotāpanna, cullaka, sotāpanna*). See **Entering the stream**, SD 3.3 (6).

⁸⁶ Cūļa Vedalla S (M 44.23/1:302), SD 40a.9; Sall'atthena S (S 36.6/4:208), SD 5.5. See below, for other classifications of feelings.

<u>The 6 kinds of feeling are defined by the nun Dhamma, dinnā</u> in **the Cūļa Vedalla Sutta** (M 44). After defining the six kinds of feeling in the manner mentioned above, instructive catechism follows:

"Now, ayya,⁸⁷

regarding <u>pleasant feeling</u>, what is pleasant, what is painful,? regarding <u>painful feeling</u>, what is painful, what is pleasant,? regarding <u>neutral feeling</u>, what is pleasant, what is painful,?"

"Avuso Visākha,

<u>pleasant feeling</u> is *pleasant* when it persists, *painful* when it changes; <u>painful feeling</u> is *painful* when it persists, *pleasant* when it changes;

neutral feeling is pleasant when there is knowledge of it, painful when there is no knowledge of it."

(M 44,24/1:303), SD 40a.9

4.6 THE 18 KINDS OF FEELING

4.6.1 The 18 kinds of feeling are the following 18 examinations:

6 examinations accompanied by joy. somanass'upavicāra
6 examinations accompanied by displeasure, domanass'upavicāra
6 examinations accompanied by equanimity. upekkh'upavicāra

Each type becomes sixfold by arising in relation to the 6 sense-objects. **The Saļ-āyatana Vibhaṅga Sutta** (M 137) describes the 18 kinds of feelings as "mental examination" (*upavicāra*), thus:

On <u>seeing a form</u> with the eye, one examines (*upavicarati*) a form productive of joy, one examines a form productive of displeasure, one examines a form productive of equanimity.

On <u>hearing a sound</u> with the ear, one examines a sound productive of joy, one examines a sound productive of displeasure, one examines a sound productive of equanimity.

On <u>smelling a smell</u> with the nose, one examines a smell productive of joy, one examines a smell productive of displeasure, one examines a smell productive of equanimity.

On <u>tasting a taste</u> with the tongue, one examines a taste productive of joy, one examines a taste productive of displeasure, one examines a taste productive of equanimity.

On <u>touching a touch</u> with the body, one examines a touch productive of joy, one examines a touch productive of displeasure, one examines a touch productive of equanimity.

On <u>cognizing a mind-object</u> with the mind, one examines a mind-object productive of joy, one examines a mind-object productive of displeasure, one examines a mind-object productive of equanimity.

(M 137,8/3:216 f), SD 29.5

4.6.2 The Majjhima Commentary says that "**mental examination**" (*manôpavicāra*) is a common term for initial application (*vitakka*) and sustained application (*vicāra*). One examines or investigates (*upavicarati*) the object by the occurrence of sustained application, and initial application is associated with sustained application (MA 5:22). **The Titth'āyatana Sutta** (A 3.61) defines the third satipatthana—the contemplation of the mind (*cittânupassanā*)—as being these 18 mental examinations or investigations. ⁸⁸

4.7 THE 36 KINDS OF FEELING

4.7.1 The 36 kinds of feelings are explained in detail in **the Sal-āyatana Vibhaṅga Sutta** (M 137). They are of 2 broad categories: those of <u>the household life</u> and those of <u>renunciation</u>; and they relate to

⁸⁷ Anglicization of *ayyā* or *ayye* ("venerable lady") is the feminine equivalent of *bhante* ("venerable sir"). In the Buddha's time, both forms were common ways of addressing any seniors. Only in later times, they were specifically used for monastics.

⁸⁸ A 3.61.8/1:176 (SD 6.8).

the 3 kinds of feeling: pleasant, painful and neutral. Each in turn becomes sixfold in terms of the 6 sense-objects. 89

4.7.2 The Kiṭāgiri Sutta (M 70) summarizes into 6 categories, the detailed teaching given in the Saṭāyatana Vibhaṅga Sutta. The Kiṭāgiri Sutta speaks of 2 kinds of feelings (without their technical terms): those of the householder (that conduce to unwholesome states), and those of the renunciant (that conduce to wholesome states), thus: ⁹⁰

JOY OF THE HOUSEHOLD LIFE. Here, when one feels <u>a certain pleasant feeling</u>, unwholesome states grow in him, and wholesome states lessen.

JOY OF THE RENUNCIANT'S LIFE. But here when one feels a certain pleasant feeling, unwholesome states lessen in him, and wholesome states grow.

PAIN OF THE HOUSEHOLD LIFE. Here, when one feels <u>a certain painful feeling</u>, unwholesome states grow in him, and wholesome states lessen.

PAIN OF THE RENUNCIANT'S LIFE. But here when one feels a certain painful feeling, unwholesome states lessen in him, and wholesome states grow.

EQUANIMITY OF THE HOUSEHOLD LIFE. Here, when one feels <u>a certain neutral feeling</u>, unwholesome states grow in him, and wholesome states lessen.

EQUANIMITY OF THE RENUNCIANT'S LIFE. But here when one feels a certain neutral feeling, unwholesome states lessen in him, and wholesome states grow. (M 70,7/1:475), SD 11.1

4.7.3 The Commentary to the Sal-āyatana Vibhanga Sutta (M 137) explains that the expression "of the household life" (*geha,sita*) means "connected with the cords of sense-pleasure," while "of renunciation" (*nekkhamma,sita*) means "connected with insight." This latter joy arises when we have set up insight and is watching the break-up of formations with the flow of sharp and bright insight knowledge focused on formations. (MA 5:22)

The same Commentary adds that the householder's equanimity $(gehasit\bar{a}\ upekkh\bar{a})^{91}$ is the equanimity of unknowing [ignorance] that arises in one who has not broken the barriers created by defilements or the future karmic fruits. It "does not go beyond form" $(r\bar{u}pam\ s\bar{a}\ ativattati)$ because it is stuck, glued to the object like flies to a ball of sugar. On the other hand, the renunciant's equanimity $(nekkhamma,sit\bar{a}\ upekkh\bar{a})^{92}$ is the equanimity of insight knowledge. It does not lust after desirable objects that fall within the range of the senses, nor does it feel ill will toward undesirable objects. (MA 5:24)

4.8 THE 108 KINDS OF FEELING

4.8.1 The listing of 36 feelings over the past, the future and the present is not only comprehensive, but more importantly, it points to the eternal truth that these feelings arise and pass away. They exist in time and space, both of which entail change and flux. Feeling is a feature of this constant universal change. According to **the Aṭṭha,sata Sutta** (S 36.22), the 108 kinds of feeling are these very same 36 feelings in the past, in the future, and in the present. **The Bahu,vedaniya Sutta** (the Discourse on the Many Kinds of Feelings. M 59), **the Pañcak'aṅga Sutta** (S 36.19), and **the Bhikkhū Sutta** (S 36.20), and their commentaries, also mention the 108 kinds of feelings.

⁸⁹ M 137,9-15/3:217-219 (SD 29.5).

⁹⁰ M 70,6-7/1:475 (SD 11.1). See Boisvert 1995:74-76 for the Sutta passage with Comy.

⁹¹ Technically, this should tr as "the equanimity of the householder."

⁹² Technically, this should tr as "the equanimity of the renunciant."

⁹³ M 59,5/1:399; S 36.19/4:224 f (SD 30.1); S 36.20/4:229; S 36.22/4:231 f; MA 3:114; SA 3:82-84.

4.8.2 In fact, Dhammapāla says, <u>feeling</u> (*vedanā*) is sixfold, by way of <u>the 6 types of contacts</u>, that is, feeling born of eye-contact, of ear-contact, of nose-contact, of tongue-contact, of body-contact, and of mind-contact. They form a total of 108 kinds, thus:

preoccupation (upavicāra)	support (nissita)	time (kāla)
desirable	<u>6 internal sense-faculties</u>	past
undesirable	eye, ear, nose, tongue, body, mind	present
undestrable	<u>6 external sense-objects</u>	present
neutral	form, sound, smell, taste, touch, mind-objects	future
3 >	$36 \times 3 = 108$	feelings

Table 4.7 The 108 feelings (DAaŢ:VRI 1:463)

<u>The 6 internal sense-faculties</u>, of course, refer to our own feelings towards these faculties during the 3 period of time: past, present and future. It can also refer to the feelings that arise from contemplating on our own faculties.

<u>The 6 external sense-objects</u> refer to the feelings that arise stimulated by some external objects. In the case of the mind, it refers to feeling arising when it revels in some memory or perception of some mental object.

4.9 CARNAL FEELINGS AND SPIRITUAL FEELINGS

4.9.1 A number of texts mention another classification of feeling, namely, that of <u>the sensual or carnal</u> (*s'āmisa*) and <u>the non-sensual or spiritual</u> (*nirāmisa*). The well known satipatthana passage on <u>the contemplation of feelings</u> (*vedanā 'nupassanā*) of **the Satipaṭṭhāna Suttas** (D 22; M 10) mentions them:

And how, bhikshus, does a monk dwell observing feelings in the feelings? Here, bhikshus, a monk,

- (1) feeling a pleasant feeling, ⁹⁴ understands, "I feel a pleasant feeling"; feeling a painful feeling, understands, "I feel a painful feeling"; feeling a neutral feeling, understands, "I feel a neutral feeling";
- (2) feeling <u>a pleasant sensual [carnal] feeling</u>, he understands, "I feel a pleasant sensual feeling." feeling <u>a pleasant non-sensual [spiritual] feeling</u>, he understands, "I feel a pleasant non-sensual feeling";
- (3) feeling <u>a painful sensual feeling</u>, he understands, "I feel a painful sensual feeling"; feeling <u>a painful non-sensual feeling</u>, he understands, "I feel a painful non-sensual feeling";
- (4) feeling <u>a neutral sensual feeling</u>, he understands, "I feel a neutral sensual feeling"; feeling <u>a neutral non-sensual feeling</u>, he understands, "I feel a neutral non-sensual feeling."

 (D 22,11/2:298 = M 10,32/1:59 @ SD 13.2-3)

⁹⁴ Sukham vedanam, either bodily or mental.

⁹⁵ Dukkham vedanam, either bodily or mental.

⁹⁶ Adukkham-asukham vedanam, which is mental only: one is only aware that a feeling is present. Comy says that it is not easy to be mindful of neutral feeling, and that it should be best approached by way of inference, by noting the absence of both pleasant and unpleasant feelings. Comy illustrates with the example of a hunter seeing tracks before and after a rock, thereby inferring the track of an animal (MA 1:277). **Dhamma,saṅgaṇī** says that only the sense of touch is accompanied by pain or pleasure, while feelings arising at the other four sense-doors are invariably neutral (Dhs 139-145; Abhds 2). The suttas however speak of pleasant and unpleasant sights, sounds, smells, and tastes, that in turn condition the arising of corresponding feelings of pleasure or displeasure (S 4:115, 119, 125, 126). "This Abhidhammic presentation offers an intriguing perspective on observing feeling, since it invites an inquiry into the degree to which an experience of delight or displeasure in regard to sight, sound, smell or taste is simply the outcome of one's own mental evaluation" (Anālayo, Satipatthāna, 2003:171).

Compendium of Feeling

(Vedanā, saṅgaha)

Analysis of Feeling

Tattha vedanā,saṅgahe tāva tividhā vedanā: sukhā dukkhā, adukkham-asukhā câ ti. Sukhaṁ dukkhaṁ somanas-saṁ domanassaṁ upekkhâ ti va bhedena pana pañcadhā hoti.

In the compendium of feeling there are first three kinds of feeling, namely, pleasant, painful, and that which is neither painful nor pleasant. Again, feeling is analyzed as fivefold: pleasure, pain, joy, displeasure, and equanimity.

Guide

Analysis of feeling. As we have seen, feeling $(vedan\bar{a})$ is a universal mental factor, the cetasika [mental factor] with the function of experiencing the "flavour" of the object. Since some sort of feeling accompanies every citta [mind-moment], feeling serves as an important variable in terms of which consciousness can be classified. In this section the author's main concern is to classify the totality of cittas by way of their concomitant feeling.

Three kinds of feeling. Feeling may be analyzed as either threefold or fivefold. When it is analyzed simply in terms of its affective quality, it is threefold: pleasant, painful, and neither-painful-nor-pleasant. In this threefold classification, pleasant feeling includes both bodily pleasure and mental pleasure or joy, and painful feeling includes both bodily pain and mental pain or displeasure.

Feeling is analyzed as fivefold. When feeling is analyzed by way of the governing faculty (*indriya*), it becomes fivefold. These five types of feelings are called faculties because they exercise lordship or control (*indra*) over their associated states with respect to the affective mode of experiencing the object.

When the fivefold analysis of feeling is considered, the pleasant feeling of the threefold scheme becomes divided into pleasure and joy, the former bodily and the latter mental; the painful feeling of the threefold scheme becomes divided into pain and displeasure, again the former bodily and the latter mental; and neither-painful-nor-pleasant feeling becomes identified with equanimity or neutral feeling.

In the Suttas the Buddha sometimes also speaks of feeling as twofold, pleasure (*sukha*) and pain (*dukkha*). This is a loose or metaphorical method of analysis, arrived at by merging the blameless neutral feeling in pleasure and the blameworthy neutral feeling in pain. The Buddha further declares that whatever is felt is included in suffering (*yam kiñci vedayitam tam dukkhasmim*, S 36.11/4:216). In this statement the word *dukkha* does not bear the narrow meaning of painful feeling, but the broader meaning of the suffering inherent of all conditioned things by reason of their impermanence.

Pleasure (*sukha*) has the characteristic of experiencing a desirable tangible object, the function of intensifying associated states, manifestation as bodily enjoyment, and its proximate cause is the bodily faculty.

Pain (*dukkha*) has the characteristic of experiencing an undesirable tangible object, the function of withering associated states, manifestation as bodily affliction, and its proximate cause is also the bodily faculty.

Joy (*somanassa*) has the characteristic of experiencing a desirable object, the function of partaking of the desirable aspect of the object, manifestation as mental enjoyment, and its proximate cause is tranquillity.⁹⁷

Displeasure (*domanassa*) has the characteristic of experiencing an undesirable object, the function of partaking of the undesirable aspect of the object, manifestation as mental affliction, and its proximate cause is the heart-base. ⁹⁸

Equanimity ($upekkh\bar{a}$) has the characteristic of being felt as neutral, the function of neither intensifying nor withering associated states, manifestation as peacefulness, and its proximate cause is consciousness without zest.⁹⁹

Source: A Comprehensive Manual of Abhidhamma (Abhs:BRS), ed Bodhi et al, 2nd ed 1999:115 f.

⁹⁷ Tranquility (*passaddhi*), it seems, is the proximate cause only for the joyful feeling that arises in meditative cultivation.

⁹⁸ According to the Pali comys, the heart serves as the physical support for all cittas other than the two sets of five-fold sense consciousness, which take their respective sensitivities as their bases. In the canonical Abhidhamma the heart-base is not expressly mentioned. The Paṭṭḥāna, the book of the Abhidhamma Piṭaka, simply speaks of "that matter in dependence on which the mind element and mind-consciousness element occur" (1.4). The Commentaries, however, subsequently specify "that matter" to be the heart-base, a cavity situated within the physical heart (Vism 8.111).

⁹⁹ These definitions of the five feelings are found at Vism 14.128.

4.9.2 In the phrase "**pleasant sensual [carnal] feeling**" (*s'āmisaṁ sukhaṁ vedanaṁ*). "sensual" (*s'āmisa* = *sa-āmisa*), literally means "with flesh," thus connoting some sense of the carnal, that is, <u>it is connected to the pleasures of the 5 senses</u> (*kāma,guṇa*) (S 4:235, 236). In the phrase "pleasant non-sensual [spiritual] feeling" (*nirāmisaṁ sukhaṁ vedanaṁ*), *nirāmisa* means "non-sensual, non-carnal, spiritual," which, according to the Commentary, refers to the six joyful feelings connected with the sense-doors, but <u>not dependent on sense-desire</u> (MA 1:279).

4.9.3 In **the Saļ'āyatana Vibhaṅga Sutta** (M 137), "sensual" (*s'āmisa*) refers to the household life and "non-sensual" (*nirāmisa*) to the renounced life. Elsewhere, as in **the Suddhika Nirāmisa Sutta** (S 36.29), non-sensual zest (*nirāmisa pīti*), non-sensual happiness (*nirāmisa sukha*) and non-sensual equanimity (*nirāmisā upekkhā*) are experienced in the dhyanas. ¹⁰⁰ **Sujato's** comments are helpful here:

In addition to the usual threefold analysis of feelings, the satipatthana material introduces the distinction between "carnal" and "spiritual" feelings. This distinction is not explained in the context of satipatthana as such; the detailed discussion is in **the Vedanā-saṁyutta**. Since "carnal" and "spiritual" are unusual terms in this context, it seems likely that the Vedanā-saṁyutta passage was specifically intended to explain the Satipatthāna Sutta material.

This impression is reinforced by another unusual feature, the inclusion of "rapture" [pīti, "zest"] as a kind of feeling. Rapture is not mentioned in the feeling section of the Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta, but it does fall under feelings in ānâpānasati. Again, this is an unusual if not unique usage, and suggests that the Vedanā-saṃyutta passage was intended to synthesize and explain the feelings sections in both satipatthana and ānâpānasati.

The explanations that concern us here are as follows. <u>Carnal feelings</u> are those connected with the senses. <u>Spiritual rapture</u> is in the first two jhanas, <u>spiritual pleasant feeling</u> is in the first three jhanas, while <u>spiritual neutral feeling</u> is in the fourth jhana. <u>Spiritual painful feeling</u> is described as depression arising as one longs for the peaceful liberations one has not yet realized—a feeling I'm sure many are familiar with! (Sujato 2004b:149; emphases added)¹⁰²

5 Feeling as suffering

5.1 THREE KINDS OF SUFFERING

5.1.1 It is a universal fact that <u>feeling is impermanent</u>, and what is impermanent is *dukkha* (unsatisfactory, suffering). ¹⁰³ **The Dukkha Sutta** (S 38.14) categorizes suffering into 3 kinds:

- (1) affective suffering (due to physical and mental pain) (*dukkha,dukkhatā*, literally, "the suffering of suffering" or pain as psychosomatic suffering),
- (2) temporal suffering or "suffering due to change" (that is, due to the ending of a pleasant feeling") (*vipariṇāma,dukkhatā*), and
- (3) existential suffering or "suffering due to formations," in the inherent inadequacy in conditioned existence (sankhāra,dukkhatā). (\$\frac{38.14}{4:259}\$; also \$\frac{45.165}{5:56}\$; D 3:216)

Here, (1) refers to bodily pain and mental pain (or displeasure); (2) is pleasant feeling, which brings about suffering when it ends, and (3) is all conditioned phenomena of the three worlds (of existence) because they are oppressed by the rise and fall of events.

 $^{^{100}}$ S 36.29.8-15/4:236). See D 2:298; M 1:59; S 4:235, 236 (x2); A 1:81, 3:4121 Pm 2:233. See also Sue Hamilton 1996a:43 f.

¹⁰¹ S 36.31/SĀ 483

¹⁰² On the further implications of Sujato's remarks here, see **The Satipatthāna Suttas**, SD 13.1(5B.1).

¹⁰³ Eg **Anatta Lakkhaṇa S** (S 22.59.13/3:68), SD 1.2. For a contemporary discussion on pain, see Susan Blackmore 2003:236-241.

5.1.2 The Dhamma,cakka Pavattana Sutta (S 56.11), in defining the first noble truth, gives some details, as follows:

Now this, bhikshus, is the noble truth that is suffering:

```
birth is suffering,
decay<sup>104</sup> is suffering,
disease is suffering,
disease is suffering;
grief, lamentation, physical pain, mental pain and despair are suffering;
to be with the unpleasant<sup>107</sup> is suffering;
to be without the pleasant<sup>108</sup> is suffering;
not to get what one desires is suffering,
—in short, the 5 aggregates of clinging<sup>109</sup> are suffering. (S 56.11/5:421 = SD 1.1)
```

In this list, the categories of suffering are as follows:

- (1) affective suffering: birth, decay, disease, death, grief etc;
- (2) temporal suffering: not to be with the pleasant, to be without the pleasant;
- (3) existential suffering: the 5 aggregates.

The three kinds of suffering are elaborated in **the Visuddhi,magga** (Vism 16.34 f/499).

5.2 TWO WAYS OF INTERPRETING

Ñaṇâbhivaṁsa, in his New Subcommentary (Abhinava,ṭīkā) to the Dīgha, 110 gives **two methods of interpretation** as regards suffering and feeling. According to the first method of interpretation, the term "impermanent" (anicca) refers to equanimous feeling (upekkhā,vedanā) or to all feelings, by way of existential suffering (saṅkhāra,dukkha); the term "suffering" (dukkha) refers to painful feelings, by way of affective change (dukkha,dukkha); and the term "subject to change" (vipariṇāma,dhamma) refers to pleasant feelings, by way of temporal change (vipariṇāma,dukkha). The second method applies all three terms to all three types of feeling (pleasant, painful and equanimous). Of this, **Bodhi** notes:

This interpretation gives a clear example of the intricate interconnections of the diverse categorical schemes underlying the Buddha's teaching, and the exactness in the Master's selection of words to bring home the significance of his discourse. (Bodhi 1978:153 n2)

5.3 PAIN AND SUFFERING

5.3.1 At this point, it is useful to remind ourselves of the teaching of the two kinds of pains, bodily pain and mental pain, famously declared by the Buddha to Nakula,pitā in **the Nakula,pitā Sutta** (S 22.1), in this reflection: "My body may be sick but my mind will not be sick." The meaning of this brief

 $^{^{104}}$ Jarā, old age, aging.

¹⁰⁵ Only in the Vinaya & Samyutta versions; not mentioned in Comys.

¹⁰⁶ Found in most MSS but not in Be and Ce.

^{107 &}quot;The unpleasant," appiya, also tr "what one loves not."

[&]quot;The loved," piya, also tr "what one loves."

¹⁰⁹ *Pañc 'upadāna-k,khandha*, namely, form, feeling, perception, formations and consciousness (S 3:47 Vbh 1). What is briefly mentioned here is elaborated in the second discourse, **Anatta,lakkhaṇa S** (S 22.59), SD 1.2.

¹¹⁰ DAAT:Be (CSCD) 1:408 f. See also DAT:CSCD 1:196 f & *The All-embracing Net of Views*, tr Bhikkhu Bodhi, 1978:153 n2.

statement is then detailed by Sāriputta in the rest of the Sutta in terms of <u>not owning any of the 5</u> aggregates.¹¹¹

5.3.2 On a simpler level, this meditation mantra means that there are 2 kinds of pains: the bodily and the mental. Since our body is physical, it is naturally subject to stresses and strains of daily living, and to decay in the long run, as the Buddha often declares.

<u>This body</u> is form made up of the four elements [3.3], born from mother and father, built up on rice and porridge, subject to impermanence, rubbing, pressing, breaking up, and crumbling. And this consciousness of mine is supported here and bound up here.

(D 2; M 23, 109; S 35.105; A 9.15, 55.21; J 12)¹¹²

5.3.3 Through wrong or unskillful perception $(sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\tilde{a})$, we define or measure such feelings $(vedan\bar{a})$ in terms of past feelings. Based on a memory of a painful past experience, we see our present similar one as being painful, too; based on a memory of a pleasant past experience, we see the present one as being pleasant, too. In other words, we are reacting to our experiences in terms of stereotypes that we have ourselves defined from past experiences. In this way, we do not really experience the present; we are living in ther past! We are simply reprising old memories and acting out familiar roles: we are caught in the rut of predictable behaviour.

On a deeper level, we have prevented ourselves from understand that when physical pain arises in our body, we could, by not identifying with the pain (such as relating it to past experiences), simply regard it is a present but passing experience. Indeed, all experiences are passing event, if we really see them for what they are. Pain is a physical or bodily experience, liking and disliking are our past memories trying to manipulate us. Suffering is when we fail to see this. In short, pain is natural, suffering is optional.

5.4 THE DATTHABBA SUTTA (S 36.5)

The Datthabba Sutta summarizes our discussion thus far to serve as a reflection:

SD 17.3(5)

Dațțhabba Sutta

The Discourse on What Should be Seen
Theme: Dealing with feelings
S 36.5/4:207

Traditional: S 4.2.1.5 = Samyutta Nikāya 4, Salāyatana Vagga 2, Vedanā Samyutta 1, Sagāthā Vagga 5

3 Bhikshus, there are these <u>3 feelings</u>. What are the three?

Pleasant feeling.

Painful feeling.

Neither pleasant nor painful [Neutral] feeling.

A pleasant feeling, bhikshus, should be seen as painful. 114

A painful feeling should be seen as a dart.

A neutral feeling should be seen as impermanent.

4 Bhikshus, insofar as a monk has seen a pleasant feeling as painful.

a painful feeling as a dart, and

a neutral feeling as impermanent,

90

¹¹¹ S 22.1/3:1-5 (SD 5.4).

¹¹² See SD 29.6a (3.4).

¹¹³ See *Saññā*, SD 17.4.

¹¹⁴ On account of change (*viparināmana*, *vasena*). (SA 3:76)

he is called a monk who sees rightly, who has cut off craving, who by fully breaking through conceit, destroying it, has made an end of suffering.¹¹⁵

One who has seen the pleasant as painful; Who has seen the painful as a dart; The neither painful nor pleasant, as peaceful, 116 Who sees it as impermanent: He is a monk who rightly sees, Who thoroughly knows feeling. He has fully understood feeling. He is without cankers in this very life. Standing in the Dharma, when the body breaks up, The master of knowledge cannot be reckoned.

— evam —

6 Feeling and feeling-content

6.1 THE NATURE OF FEELING

6.1.1 Sue Hamilton, in *Identity and Experience*, makes an important note on the nature of feeling:

There are many different descriptions of the types of $vedan\bar{a}$. By far the most commonly referred to are the three types covered by the term $tisso\ vedan\bar{a}$: agreeable, disagreeable and neutral. It is this analysis which stands in the Vedanā Samyutta [S 4:204]. It is also stated that $vedan\bar{a}$ which is agreeable, disagreeable and neutral is experienced ($vedayita\dot{m}$) either bodily or mental [$k\bar{a}yika\dot{m}\ va\ cetasika\dot{m}\ v\bar{a}$], making six types in all. And in the Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta it states that agreeable, disagreeable and neutral feelings can be experienced with regard to material ($s\bar{a}misa$) or non-material ($nir\bar{a}misa$) things [M 1:59].

These last two sentences have been interpreted as meaning that feelings have both a physical and a mental aspect. In my view, however, it seems more likely that the first refers to the fact that feelings have a tactile origin are experienced bodily (kāya is the object corresponding to the sense of touch) and feelings that have a non-tactile origin are experienced mentally: so, for example, the agreeable feelings we experience as a result of hearing or seeing something nice are not in this sense "bodily" feelings. (1996a:43 f)

6.1.2 It is stated in **the Mahā Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta** (D 22) that physical pain arises through bodily contact ($k\bar{a}ya,samphassa,ja$) and that displeasure (mental pain) arises through mental contact (mano,samphassa,ja). The key word to note here is "contact" (samphassa, or simply phassa), which refers to the

¹¹⁵ Ayam vuccati bhikkhave bhikkhu sammaddaso acchejji tanham vivattayi samyojanam sammamānâbhisamayā antam akāsi dukkhassâ ti.

¹¹⁶ Adukkham-asukham santam, where **santam** is glossed by Comy as santa,sabhāvam, "a state of peace" (SA 3:76). PED gives 2 forms of santa: (1) "calmed, tranquil, peaceful, pure," as pp of sammati (√ŚAM; Dhtp 436 = upasama) (V 1:4; D 1:12; S 1:5; A 2:18; Sn 746), or (2) "tired, wearied, exhausted, fatigued" pp of sammati (√ŚRAM, Vedic śramyati; Dhtp 220 = parissama, 437 = kheda) (Dh 60; J 1:498; Pv 2.9.36); and possibly (3) "working, satisfactory," pp of sammati (√ŚAM, to labour; present śamyati; pp Vedic śamita (V 2:119, 278). None of these senses is reflected in the comy gloss.

¹¹⁷ Eg D 3:275; S 2:53, 82, 4:204, 207, etc; A 3:400.

¹¹⁸ M 1:302; S 4:208; cf Vbh 72; Dhs 133.

This is suggested by NR Reat 1987:23.

¹²⁰ D 22.18/2:306 @ SD 13.2.

impingement of the sense-object on its sense-faculty. The question here is not whether there are two kinds of feeling (bodily or mental), but that all feelings arise from contact: phassa,paccayā vedanā. 121

6.2 PAIN CAN BE UNLEARNED

6.2.1 In this connection, in the Nakula, pitā Sutta (S 22.1), we find the Buddha admonishing the aging Nakula, pitā to train himself (by constantly reflecting) thus: "My body may be sick, but my mind will not be sick" (ātura,kāyassa me sato, cittam anāturam bhavissati). 122 In other words, there are bodybased feelings and mind-based feelings; the body-based feelings arise from the impingement of a physical sense-object on any of the physical sense-faculties: in short, this is physical sense-stimuli.

This is the area of pain that medical science is familiar with, and can be divided into two kinds: acute and chronic. Acute pain is caused by a specific disease or injury, serves a useful biologic purpose, is associated with skeletal muscle spasm and sympathetic nervous system activation, and is self-limited. Chronic pain, in contrast, may be considered a disease state. It is pain that outlasts the normal time of healing, if associated with an injury or disease. Chronic pain may arise from psychological states, serves no biologic purpose, and has no recognizable end-point.

6.2.2 Chronic pain is technically called "nociceptive pain," and troubles us painfully over a long period. Chronic pain are mostly biological, and are of two kinds—the visceral and the neuropathi. So altogether we have the following 3 kinds of pain: 123

Nociceptive pain

Nociceptors are the nerves that sense and respond to those areas of the body where pain, infection or trauma (damage) occur, such as cuts, sprains, fractures, burns, bumps, bruises, inflammation (eg due to infection or arthritis), obstruction, and myofascial (muscular) pain. This kind of pain, also called "somatic pain" (or acute bodily pain), because it involves the destruction of bodily tissue that is time-limited or episodic.

Visceral pain

This is a sub-type of nociceptive pain involving the internal organs. Visceral pain is usually diffuse and difficult to locate. It may be accompanied by nausea and vomiting, and may be described as sickening, squeezing, throbbing, deep or dull.

Neuropathic pains

Pain resulting from an injury or malfunction in the peripheral or central nervous system. It is often triggered by an injury, but there may be no damage to the nervous system (eg the nerves could just be infiltrated or compressed by tumours, strangulated by scar tissue, or inflamed by infection). This kind of pain is normally chronic. Such pain does not really reflect an injury, but simply that the alarm system itself is not working well.

6.2.3 Pain, on the other hand, could also arise from any of the 6 sense-faculties, like one hears some bad news and has a heart attack, or one thinks of a loved one and weeps as a result: these are mind-based feelings. As such, pain is not just a feeling: it is an experience, one that is not only mind-based, but also psychologically, culturally and environmentally determined. It might be said to be a "learned pain," sometimes called *psychogenic* (mind-generated) pain. Thus, the reflection, "my mind will not be sick" means that one trains oneself not to be led by unwholesome sense-objects, but to wisely consider them to be impermanent and not to "own" them. 124 One can *unlearn* this kind of pain.

 $^{^{121}}$ (Kāya) Na Tumha S (S 12.37/2:64), SD 5.14; (Paṭicca,samuppāda) Vibhaṅga S (S 12.2/2:2), SD 5. 15. 122 S 22.1/3:1 @ SD 5.4.

¹²³ See eg http://calder.med.miami.edu/pointis/typepain.html; http://www.medicinenet.com/pain management/page2.htm.

¹²⁴ In **Nakula,pitā S** (S 22.1), Sāriputta explains to Nakula,pitā how to "disown" the 5 aggregates (S 22.1,6-25/-3:2-5), SD 5.4.

6.3 ALL FEELINGS ARE FELT MENTALLY

6.3.1 The next key point to note is that *all feelings are experienced in the mind*: the sense-faculty and the sense-object are not sufficient conditions for feeling to arise, as clearly stated by Mahā Kaccāna in the **Madhu,piṇḍika Sutta** (M 18):¹²⁵

Friends, dependent on the eye and forms, eye-consciousness arises. The meeting of the three is contact. 126

With contact as condition, there is feeling. What one feels, one perceives. What one perceives, one thinks about. 127

What one thinks about, one mentally proliferates.¹²⁸ What a person mentally proliferates is the source through which <u>perceptions and notions due to mental proliferation</u>¹²⁹ impacts one regarding past, future and present forms cognizable through the eye.

Friends, dependent on the ear and sounds, ear-consciousness arises

Friends, dependent on the nose and smells, nose-consciousness arises

Friends, dependent on the tongue and tastes, tongue-consciousness arises

Friends, dependent on the body and touches, body-consciousness arises

Friends, dependent on the mind¹³⁰ and mind-objects, mind-consciousness¹³¹ arises. The meeting of the three is contact. With contact as condition, there is feeling.

(M 18,16/1:111 f), SD 6.14

This important passage clearly shows that all feelings are mentally experienced. The difference amongst the feelings—whether they are bodily or mental—depends on *where* they arise: a bodily feeling arises in the body (that is, the eye, ear, nose, tongue or body), and a mental feeling arises in the mind. Hence, there is no need, as Sue Hamilton attempts, to show "that feeling that have a tactile origin are experienced bodily ... and feelings that have a non-tactile origin are experienced mentally" (1996a:44). All feelings are experienced mentally: *if there is no attention (sense-consciousness), there is no feeling.*

6.3.2 This explanation is further supported by the very first two verses of **the Dhammapada**:

Mano,pubb'aṅgamā dhammā mano,seṭṭhā mano,mayā Manasā ce paduṭṭhena bhāsati vā karoti vā Tato naṁ dukkham anveti cakkaṁ'va vahato padaṁ

Phenomena are preceded by the mind [consciousness], for them the mind is supreme, they are mind-made, If one speaks or acts with a defiled mind, Suffering follows one like the wheel the ox's hoof. (Dh 1)

 $^{^{125}}$ Similar passages are found in **Pariññā S** (S 35.60/4:32 f), SD 6.17, and **Mahā Hatthi,padôpama S** (M 28,27-38/1:190 f) SD 6.16.

¹²⁶ Tiṇṇaṁ saṅgati phasso.

[&]quot;One thinks about," *vitakketi*. On how desire arises from thinking, see **Sakka,pañha S** (D 21,2.2/2:277).

This verse up to here is also found in (Samuday'atthangama) Loka S (S 12.44/2:71-73), SD 7.5, and (Sabb'-upādāna) Pariñnā S (S 35.60/4:32 f), SD 6.17, in a different context.

¹²⁹ *Papañca, saññā, sankhā*, see SD 6.14 (3).

¹³⁰ "The mind," mana. Here Comy glosses as bhavanga, citta (MA 2:79), the life-continuum, sometimes called the unconscious or subconscious.

¹³¹ "Mind-consciousness," *mano,viññāṇa*. Here Comy glosses as "advertence" (*āvajjana*) and impulsion (*javana*) (MA 2:77).

Mano,pubb'aṅgamā dhammā mano,seṭṭhā mano,mayā Manasā ce pasannena bhāsati vā karoti vā Tato naṁ sukham anveti chāyā'va anāpayinī

Phenomena are preceded by the mind [consciousness], for them the mind is supreme, they are mind-made, If one speaks or acts with a clear [undefiled] mind, Happiness follows one like an undeparting shadow. (Dh 2)

6.3.3 The term "phenomena" (*dhammā*) here refers to whatever we experience through our senses. The Dhammapada Commentary defines *dhammā* as the non-material aggregates: feeling (*vedanā*), perception (*saññā*), and formations (*saṅkhāra*) (DhA 1:22). Form (*rūpa*) is not mentioned because we do not experience "form" in itself: we only have a bare awareness of them (*viññāṇa*, consciousness), then we form images or percepts of them (*saññā*, perception), and then volitionally act on these perceptions (*saṅkhāra*, formations). In this sense, it is all in the mind: all phenomena are mind-made.

6.4 NOT EVERYTHING WE FEEL IS DUE TO PAST KARMA

6.4.1 One of the important modern debates on Buddhism is whether dependent arising occurs over three lives (past, present and future). Both side of the divide are famously represented: we have well known proponents (like Bodhi¹³² and Brahmavamso¹³³) as well as prominent opponents (like Ñāṇavīra¹³⁴ and Buddhadasa¹³⁵). My own stand is that *the three-life dependent arising is true*, that is, if we are to accept both karma and rebirth.

6.4.2 An examination of **the Titth'āyatana Sutta** (A 3.61)¹³⁶ will help us understand the problem better. As Brahmavamso has noted, some interpret this Sutta as stating that *vedanā* is not caused by karmaformations (*saṅkhāra*) done in a past life. Therefore, the link called *saṅkhāra* in dependent arising (which does cause *vedanā*) cannot mean karma-formations of a previous life. Brahmavamso goes on to show that this is a misreading of the Sutta. ¹³⁷ The Sutta opens with the Buddha pointing out three common wrong views in his days:

Monks, there are three sectarian doctrines¹³⁸ which when fully examined, investigated, discussed by the wise, even if taken in any other way, will remain a doctrine of non-action ($akiriya,v\bar{a}da$). What are the three?

¹³² "A critical examination of Ñanavīra Thera's 'A note on paticcasamuppāda," Buddhist Studies Review, 1998.

¹³³ See eg Brahmavamso 2003:66-69.

¹³⁴ See **Channovāda S** (M 144), SD 11.12.

¹³⁵ Buddhadāsa, *Paţiccasamuppada: Dependent origination*, 1986:49; *Paticcasamuppada: Practical dependent origination*, 1992:77. He also argues that the three-life model of dependent arising was introduced by Buddhaghosa, who still had brahminical inclinations: 1992:78-104.

¹³⁶ A 3.61/1:173-177 (SD 6.8).

¹³⁷ Brahmavamso 2003:66-69.

¹³⁸ "Sectarian doctrines," *titth'āyatanāni*. M 1:483,21,23; A 1:173, 175; Nc 154; Vbh 145, 367; AA 2:272; DhA 2:63.

¹³⁹ "Even if taken in any other way," *param pi gantvā*. I have taken the Pali as it is *pace* Comy which glosses it as *yaṅ kiñci paramparaṅ gantvā pi*, "even if adopted because of tradition" (A:ÑB 61).

¹⁴⁰ **Sāmañña,phala S** ascribes the doctrine of non-action to Pūraṇa Kassapa (D 2.17/1:52 f). "Although on first encounter the view seems to rest on materialistic premises..., there is canonical evidence that Pūraṇa Kassapa subscribed to a fatalistic doctrine. Thus his moral antinomianism probably follows from the view that all action is predestined in ways that abrogate the ascription of moral responsibility to its agent." (M:ÑB 1264 n629). See AL Basham, *History and Doctrine of the Ajivikas*, 1951:84.

- (a) There are, monks, some recluses and brahmins who teach and hold this view: "Whatever a person experiences, whether pleasurable, painful or neutral, <u>all that is caused by past action</u> [done in past lives] (*pubbe kata,hetu*)." ¹⁴¹
- (b) There are, monks, others who teach and hold this view: "Whatever a person experiences ... all that is caused by God's creation (issara,nimmāna,hetu)." 142
- (c) There are, monks, others who teach and hold this view: "Whatever a person experiences ... all that is uncaused and unconditioned (ahetu appaccaya)." (A 3.61,1/1:173, SD 6.9

6.4.3 Philosophicla terms

6.4.3.1 In modern philosophical terms, the three wrong views are respectively called

(a) determinism (everything is due to past action),

(b) theism (everything is due to God), and

(c) fatalism (no causality).

They all fall under the category of "the doctrine of non-action" (akiriya, vāda).

6.4.3.2 The first view—that everything that one feels now is due to what one did in the past—is pertinent to our present discussion. This view is discussed in detail in **the Devadaha Sutta** (M 101), where it is said to be a view of the Jains. ¹⁴⁴ They held that all suffering one experiences in this life is due to bad karma from a previous life. The Devadaha Sutta disproves this wrong view.

According to the Buddha, <u>some of what one feels are caused by present karma-formations</u>, <u>some by past karma-formations earlier in this life</u>, and <u>some by karma-formations being performed right now</u>. What the Buddha is denying is that *all* happiness, suffering, and neutral feelings are caused by karma from a previous life.

6.4.3.3 **Brahmavamso** clarifies the problem:

It should be pointed out that The Buddha is here referring to the type of feeling, rather than to feeling itself. It is true that whichever one of the three types of feeling that one experiences, happiness or suffering or neutral [feeling], is not always due to *kamma* from a past life. But it is also true that the situation whereby one can experience feeling at all, the fact that *vedanā* exists, is due to *kamma* from a past life.

A simile might make this clear. The situation that you possess a TV on a public holiday is due to you having purchased it on some previous day. Its presence, as it were, is due to *kamma* from a past day. But whichever one of the three channels that appears on the screen, Channel Happiness or Channel Suffering or Channel Neutral, is not always due to what you did on some previous day. The content is not at all due to *kamma* from the past.

In the same way, The Buddha states that the existence of $vedan\bar{a}$ in this life is due to kamma formations done in the previous life. But the particular type of feeling, happiness or suffering or neutral [feeling] is not always due to kamma from a previous life.

Once the distinction is made between <u>vedanā</u> and the contents of <u>vedanā</u> (happiness or suffering or neutral), it is clear that [the Titth'āyatana Sutta] doesn't state that <u>vedanā</u> is not caused by

¹⁴¹ This determinist view is ascribed by the Buddhists to the Jains; but cf **Sāmaññaphala S** (D 2,28-30/1:57 & nn), where Nigaṇṭha Naṭaputta is ascribed a different set of teachings. For rebuttal, see **Devadaha S** (M 101).

¹⁴² This theist view is common among the brahmins.

¹⁴³ This fatalist view (that denies causality) was taught by Makkhali Gosāla, a contemporary of the Buddha who held that all things are fated (D 2,20/1:53, M 30,2/1:198, 36,5/1:238, 36,48/1:250, 60,21/1:407, 76,53/1:524, 77,6/2:2 ff). This doctrine, together with the doctrine of non-action (or inaction) belongs to the "wrong views with a fixed destiny" (*niyata micchā,ditthi*), ie, a wrong view leading to a bad rebirth (Tkp 168).

¹⁴⁴ M 101/2:101-228 (SD 18.4).

kamma formations from a previous life. It does not disprove the orthodox understanding of Dependent Origination as spanning three lives.

Indeed, the latter part of the [Titth'āyatana Sutta] introduces Dependent Origination from a unique starting point:

"Depending on the six elements (earth, air, fire, water, space and consciousness) [sic] there is the descent of the being to be born into the womb; when there is descent, there is name-and-form; with name-and-form as condition, in six sense-bases; with the six sense-bases as condition contact; with contact as condition, feeling."

Channam bhikkhave dhātūnam upādāya gabbhassâvakkanti hoti okkantiyā sati nāma,rūpam, nāma,rūpa,paccayā saļāyatanam, saļāyatana,paccayā phasso, phassa,pacayā vedanā. [A 3.62,7/1:176] (Brahmavamso 2003:67 f)

Thus, the Buddha has clearly shown that feeling ($vedan\bar{a}$) arises due to the descent of the being-to-beborn (gandhabba) into the womb.

6.4.3.4 Brahmavamso then goes on to compare this with the definition of $n\bar{a}ma, r\bar{u}pa$ in **the Mahā,nidāna Sutta** (D 15):

"It is said: 'With consciousness as condition, there is name-and-form.'

Ānanda, how consciousness conditions name-and-form should be known in this manner: If there were no consciousness to descend into a mother's womb, would name-and-form take shape in the womb?", 146

"Certainly not, bhante."

(D 15,21/2:62 f), SD 5.17

Viññāna,paccayā nāma,rūpan¹⁴⁷ ti iti kho pan'etam vuttam.

Tad Ānanda iminā p'etam pariyāyena veditabbam, yathā viññāṇa.paccayā nāma,rūpam. Viññāṇam va hi Ānanda mātu kucchismim na okkamissattha, api nu kho nāma,rūpam māṭu kucchismim samucchissathâ ti

No h'etam bhante.

Thus, concludes Brahmavamso, this passage clearly shows that the descent of the being-to-be-born of the Titth'āyatana Sutta is the same as the descent of rebirth-linking consciousness into the womb of the Mahā,nidāna Sutta.

6.4.3.6 Thus, the Titth'āyatana Sutta says that $vedan\bar{a}$ is caused by the first consciousness arising in this life, whose cause can only be found in a previous life.

Thus [the Titth'āyatana Sutta] which is often presented as evidence that Dependent Origination does not span more than one life, when read accurately and completely, actually clearly

¹⁴⁵ Text has been edited.

¹⁴⁶ Cf **Titth'āyatana S** (A 3.61) where the Buddha declares: "Based on the 6 elements, there is descent into the womb; | (On account of) such a descent, there is name-and-form; | With name-and-form as condition, there is contact; | With contact as condition, there is feeling. | Now, it is for one who feels that I make known [the 4 noble truths]" (A 3.61,9/1:176), SD 6.7. This clearly shows that feeling arises with the descent of the gandharva (rebirth consciousness) into the womb. However, this is not a common interpretation of *viññāṇa-nāma,rūpa* dyad, where "*viññāṇa* in this context became the consciousness that descends into the mother's womb at conception, while *nāma,rūpa* became the body complex that takes shape and, after developing sense-organs (*salāyatana*), experiences contact (*phassa*) and so on." (Bucknell 1999:339). More commonly, *viññāṇa* is "the consummation of the 6 types of consciousness associated with the sense organs, which makes the version read like an account of the psychological process of sensory perception." (Bucknell 1999:327): see **Madhu,piṇḍika S** (M 18,16-18/1:111-113), SD 6.14. See discussion on **nāma,rūpa** in (**Paṭicca,samuppāda**) **Vibhaṅga S** (S 12.2.11/2:3 f) n, SD 5.15.

¹⁴⁷ Here and next line, Brahmavamso's article reads nāma,rūpa,paccayā viññānam. It has been corrected.

proves the opposite. The situation that *vedanā* exists at all is due to *avijjā* [ignorance] and *kamma* formations from the previous life, and Dependent Origination, as taught by The Buddha, does indeed span more than a single life. (Brahmavamso 2003:69)

7 Arhats are in full control of their feelings

7.1 AVIJJĀ PAHĀNA SUTTA

7.1.1 The suttas are full of references to the fact that saints, including arhats, experience feelings and are not bereft of them like some zombie-like automata. In **the Avijjā Pahāna Sutta 2** (S 35.80), a monk asks the Buddha a very interesting question on spiritual development and the Buddha's answer shows how the saints know and see things "as other" (aññato), that is, as "non-self":

"But, bhante, how should a monk know, how should he see, for ignorance to be abandoned by him, and for true knowledge to arise?"

"Here, bhikshu, the monk has learned [heard] that <u>nothing is worth clinging to</u>. And a monk has thus learned that nothing is worth clinging to, he directly knows all things. Having directly know all things, he fully understands¹⁴⁸ all things.

Having fully understood everything, he sees all signs as other." (S 35.80/4:50), SD 16.9

7.1.2 The Buddha then goes on to say that the practitioner <u>sees each of his sense-faculties as other; he sees the sense-objects as other; he sees the sense-consciousnesses as other, and "Whatever feeling—whether pleasant, or painful, or neutral—that arises with tongue-contact as condition, that, too, he sees <u>as other</u> ...when a monk knows and sees thus, ignorance is abandoned by him and true knowledge ($vijj\bar{a}$) arises." (S 35.80). ¹⁵⁰</u>

7.2 SUKHUMĀLA SUTTA

7.2.1 The story of the Bodhisattva's seeing the four sights (or signs) is the hypostatization (the turning into concrete images) of his reflections on the three great evils of life, described in **the Sukhumāla Sutta** (A 3.38), thus:

^{148 &}quot;He fully understands," *parijānāti*, meaning "he comprehends, knows fully for certain." This spiritual knowledge is called "full understanding" (*pariññā*), of which there are 3 kinds: (1) Full understanding of the known (*ñāta,pariññā*), ie, the discernment of the specific characteristics of a phenomena ("Form as the characteristic of being oppressed' feeling has the characteristic of being felt, etc"); (2) Full understanding by investigating (*tīrana,-pariññā*), ie, insight wisdom (*vipassanā,paññā*) which as the 3 universal characteristics (impermanence, unsatisfactoriness, not-self) as its objects, and which arises when attributing a universal characteristic to a physical and mental state, eg "Form is impermanent; feeling is impermanent, etc"; (3) Full understanding as overcoming (or abandoning) (*pahāna,pariññā*), ie, the insight-wisdom that has the universal characteristics as its objects, and arises after one has overcome the idea of permanence, etc" (Nm 52; Vism 20.3/606 f). Comy says that "full understanding" here refers to *tīrana,pariññā* (AA 4:43). The contemplation of impermanence (*aniccânupassanā*), etc, are given in the final tetrad (*Dhammânupassanā*, contemplation of mind-objects) of the breath meditation of the Ānāpāna,sati S (M 118.21/3:83), SD 7.13.

¹⁴⁹ Sabbam dhammam pariññaya sabba,nimittani aññato passati; <u>cakkhum</u> aññato passati, <u>rūpe</u> aññato passati, <u>cakkhu, viññanam</u> aññato passati; ...yam p'idam mano samphassa,paccayā uppajjati vedayitam sukham vā dukkham vā adukkham asukham vā. Tam pi aññato passato. Comy: "He sees all signs differently" (sabba,nimittāni aññato passati) means that he sees the signs of formations (saṅkhāra,nimittāni) differently from those who have not fully understood the worldly inclinations (abhinivesā). For such people see all signs as self, but one who has fully understood the worldly inclinations, sees them as not-self, not as a self. Thus is the characteristic of not-self discussed in this sutta. (SA 2:370).

¹⁵⁰ S 35.80,6/4:50 & SD 16.9 (2).

(1) Bhikshus, amidst such splendour and wealth, ¹⁵¹ and because of such an exceedingly delicate life, this thought arose in me:

"An ignorant ordinary person, though by nature would <u>decay</u> himself and unable to escape decay, <u>would feel pained</u>, <u>ashamed</u>, <u>disgusted</u>, ¹⁵² when seeing an old or aged person, being forgetful of himself [his own situation].

Now I, too, by nature, will age and cannot escape ageing. If, bhikshus, when seeing an old or aged person, I were to feel pained, ashamed, disgusted, that would not be proper for one like my-self."

When I reflected thus, bhikshus, all my intoxication with youth vanished.

(2) (Again I reflected:)

"An ignorant ordinary person, though by nature will <u>suffer disease</u> himself and unable to escape disease, <u>would feel pained</u>, <u>ashamed</u>, <u>disgusted</u>, when seeing an ill person, being forgetful of himself.

Now I, too, by nature, will suffer disease and cannot escape disease. If, bhikshus, when seeing an ill person, I <u>were to feel pained, ashamed, disgusted</u>, that would not be proper for one like myself."

When I reflected thus, bhikshus, all my intoxication with health vanished.

(3) (Again I reflected:)

"An untutored ordinary person, though by nature will <u>die</u> himself and unable to escape dying, would feel pained, ashamed, disgusted, when seeing a dead person, being forgetful of himself.

Now I, too, by nature will die and cannot escape dying. If, bhikshus, when seeing a dead person, I were to feel pained, ashamed, disgusted, that would not be proper for one like myself."

When I reflected thus, bhikshus, all my **intoxication with life** vanished. (A 3.38/1:145 f)¹⁵³

- **7.2.2** Understandably an ordinary person would feel "pained, ashamed, disgusted" at the sight of decay (especially the human ageing process), disease, and death. Here, however, the Bodhisattva (as reported by the Buddha later) feels that he should *not* feel that way "for one like myself," that is, for two reasons: (1) if he were to remain in the home life, he should not be pained, ashamed, or disgusted at the 3 great bads or evils because they are a part and parcel of such a life; (2) if he were seeking the way out of these 3 evils, he should not be troubled by them but face them squarely. In short, he should not be troubled by his feelings. **The Indriya,bhāvanā Sutta** (M 152), too, says that the saint on the path (*sekha pāṭipada*, that is, a saint who is not yet an arhat), feels "pained, ashamed, disgusted" at a sense-experience, whether they are agreeable, disagreeable or neutral. ¹⁵⁴
- **7.2.3** The Buddha responds in the same manner towards the "miracles" (pāṭihāriya) of psychic power and of mind-reading, as recorded in **the Kevaḍḍha Sutta** (D 11), that is, he feels "pained, ashamed, disgusted" regarding them. However, the context here is more specific: the Buddha is referring to the fact that it is not wise to place our faith in miracles; for they could be performed by others by their own means, and as such fails as a way of authenticating our claims. "Seeing this danger," the Buddha thus feels "pained, ashamed, disgusted" towards them.

What is expressed here is not merely an oblique way of expressing an opinion, but that it reflects an *urgent feeling* towards situations that do not conduce to spiritual development. This is somewhat the reverse of samvega and of $pas\bar{a}da$ (bright faith), discussed elsewhere. 155

^{151 &}quot;Splendour and wealth," iddhi, or in a word "majesty."

¹⁵² "Would feel pained, ashamed, disgusted," *attiyeyyam harāyeyyam jeguccheyyam*. For fuller analyses of these terms, see **Kevaḍḍha S** (D 11,5/1:213), SD 1.7 n sv.

¹⁵³ See SD 1.11 (3.2) & esp "Dependent arising," SD 5.16.19d.

¹⁵⁴ M 152,10/3:300 f (SD 17.13).

¹⁵⁵ See Mahā,parinibbāna S (D 16), SD 9 (7f) & Somanassa S (It 2.1.10), SD 16.14 (3+4).

7.3 SALL'ATTHENA SUTTA

7.3.1 The best known passage attesting to the fact that feelings do arise in the arhat is clearly **the Sall'atthena Sutta** (S 36.6), where the Buddha explains the difference between <u>how the worldly person</u> and how the arhat responds to feeling. The Sutta opens with the Buddha asking this question:

"Bhikshus, the untutored ordinary person feels pleasant feeling, painful feeling, neutral feeling. 156

But, bhikshus, the instructed noble disciple, too, feels pleasant feeling, painful feeling, neutral feeling.

Bhikshus, what then is the distinction, the disparity, the difference between the instructed noble disciple and the untutored ordinary person?" (S 36.6.3-5/4:207 f), SD 5.5

7.3.2 The untutored ordinary person, that is, the worldling, as a rule predictably *reacts* to the three kinds of feelings, thus:

Feeling	Reaction	Reinforces
Painful	He sorrows, grieves, laments, beats his breast, becomes confused	latent tendency of aversion
Pleasant	He <u>delights</u> in sensual pleasure	latent tendency of lust
Neutral	He does not understand [does not know] it	latent tendency of ignorance

Table 7.3a The worldling's reaction to feelings

In the case of <u>the instructed noble disciple</u>, that is, the arhat, he *responds* to the 3 kinds of feelings thus:

Feeling	Response	Benefit
Painful	He shows no aversion towards painful feelings.	He knows an escape other than through sensual pleasure.
Pleasant	He does not delight in sensual pleasure.	He understands, according to reality, the arising, the passing away, the gratification, the danger and the escape with regards to feelings. 157
Neutral	He understands it according to reality.	Ignorance does not lie latent in him.

Table 7.3b The arhat's response to feelings

¹⁵⁶ Comy to Mahā Satipaṭṭhāna S says that it is not easy to be mindful of neutral feeling, and that it should be best approached by way of inference, by noting the absence of both pleasant and unpleasant feelings. Comy illustrates with the example of a hunter seeing tracks before and after a rock, thereby inferring the track of an animal (MA 1:277). **Dhamma,saṅgaṇī** says that only the sense of touch is accompanied by pain or pleasure, while feelings arising at the other four sense-doors are invariably neutral (Dhs 139-145; Abhds 2). The suttas however speak of pleasant and unpleasant sights, sounds, smells, and tastes, that in turn condition the arising of corresponding feelings of pleasure or displeasure (S 4:115, 119, 125, 126). "This *Abhidhammic* presentation offers an intriguing perspective on contemplation of feeling, since it invites an inquiry into the degree to which an experience of delight or displeasure in regard to sight, sound, smell or taste is simply the outcome of one's own mental evaluation" (Anālayo, *Satipaṭṭhāna*, 2003:171). See §8e n.

¹⁵⁷ See Sall'atthena S (S 36.6,8.4/4:208) + n, SD 5.5.

7.3.3 The Buddha concludes by saying that for the arhat, "If he feels a pleasant feeling, he does not feel that it is yoked to him. If he feels a painful feeling, he does not feel that it is yoked to him. If he feels a neutral feeling, he does not feel that it is yoked to him." (S 36.6). Feeling, as such, is not a sufficient condition for craving $(tanh\bar{a})$. Even in ordinary people, not all feelings produce craving. 159

7.4 INDRIYA, BHĀVANĀ SUTTA (M 152)

7.4.1 How "differently" the saints know and see things is comprehensively and clearly explained in **the Indriya,bhāvanā Sutta** (M 152), as summarized in this table: ¹⁶⁰

The practitioner	Feeling	Cultivation of the faculties
(1) The supreme cultivation of the faculties in the noble one's discipline (ariyassa vinaye anuttarā indriya,bhāvanā): a good worldling	When a monk (experiences a sense-object) with (the sense-organ), (in him) the agreeable arises, the disagreeable arises, both the agreeable-and-disagreeable [the neutral] arises.	He notes the sensation, and regards it as "conditioned, gross, and dependently arisen." And he notes its momentariness or impermanence. (M 152.4-9)
(2) The learner on the path (sekha pāṭipada): the streamwinner, oncereturner, or non-returner		"He is pained, ashamed, <u>revulsed</u> " by the sensation (whether they are pleasant, unpleasant, or neutral). (M 152.10)
(3) The noble one developed in the cultivation of the faculties (ariya bhāvita indriya,bhāvanā): the adept or arhat		He is a master of the 5 perceptions, applying them "as he wishes." ¹⁶¹ (M 152.11-16)

Table 7.4a Summary of the Indriya, bhāvanā Sutta teaching.

7.4.2 According to the Indriya,bhāvanā Sutta, **the good worldling** (*kalyāṇa puthujjana*), when experiencing a feeling, whether pleasant, painful, or neutral, <u>sees it as being "conditioned, gross, and dependently arisen</u>," and is as such only momentary and impermanent. The saint-on-the-path "is pained, ashamed, <u>revulsed</u>" by the sensation whether they are pleasant, unpleasant, or neutral. **The arhat** is a master of the 5 perceptions, applying them "<u>as he wishes</u>." ¹⁶²

7.4.3 Let us examine the arhat's 5 perceptions, summarized in **Table 7.3b**. This "training" of arhat is an interesting one. The Sutta says he may cultivate any of the five perceptions. He knows just what to do

¹⁶² M 152/3:298-301 (SD 17.13).

¹⁵⁸ S 36.6,10f/4:209 f @ SD 5.5.

¹⁵⁹ On formations and latent tendencies, see SD 17.6(6.2).

¹⁶⁰ M 152/3:298-301(SD 17.13).

Here the 5 perceptions are powers accomplished in the arhat. Elsewhere, the Buddha teaches them to his unawakened disciples as a way to overcome the three unwholesome roots (greed, hate and delusion), ie, in **Metta,-sahagata S** (S 46.54.12-13/S 5:119), SD 10.11 (2) & at **Tikaṇḍaki S** (A 5.144.2/3:169), SD 2.12, where they are explained in some detail. In both cases, however, the sequence of the perceptions are 2, 1, 4, 3, 5. For further details, see **Paṭisambhidā,magga** (Pm 22.26/2:212 f) and Vism 12.36/381 f.

with regard to whatever state confronts him, whether it is attractive ("unrepulsive," *appaṭikkūla*) or unattractive ("repulsive," *patikkūla*). Two significant points should be noted here.

Firstly, the arhat's actions are spontaneous, that is, not rooted in greed, hate or delusion, and he does not measure others (that is, he has no conceit, $m\bar{a}na$). Secondly, feelings (pleasant, unpleasant, neutral; pain, tiredness, etc) do arise in the arhat, but he always knows them as they really are, and as such lets them come, lets them go, just as a mirror reflects an image but is in no way affected by it. Elsewhere, the Buddha teaches them to his unawakened disciples as a way to overcome the 3 unwholesome roots (greed, hate and delusion). 164

If the arhat wishes thus: 'May I dwell	He perceives it	Method
(1) Perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive' [ie, seeing what is unattractive in an attractive object, eg, a sensually attractive person)]	as repulsive	He sees it as <u>foul</u> or as <u>impermanent</u> .
(2) Perceiving the unrepulsive in the repulsive' [ie, seeing what is attractive in an unattractive object, eg, a hostile person]	as unrepulsive	He shows it <u>loving-kindness</u> or sees it as <u>primary elements</u> .
(3) Perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive and in the repulsive,' [ie, letting go of both the attractive and the unattractive signs]	as repulsive	He sees them as <u>foul</u> or as <u>impermanent</u> .
(4) Perceiving <u>the unrepulsive</u> in the repulsive and in the unrepulsive' [ie, <i>regarding</i> both the unattractive and the attractive in the same way]	as unrepulsive	He shows them <u>loving-kindness</u> or see them as <u>primary elements</u> .
(5) Rejecting both the unrepulsive and the repulsive, he dwells in equanimity, mindful and clearly comprehending,' [ie, disregarding either sign as attractive or as unattractive]	equanimously, mindful and clearly compre- hending	He is neither glad nor sad, but is equanimous, mindful and clearly comprehending.

Table 7.4b. The 5 perceptions (Metta, sahagata Sutta, S 46.54.12c/5:119), SD 10.11.

7.5 Mahā Parinibbāna Sutta

In **the Mahā,parinibbāna Sutta** (D 16), the Buddha, just before relinquishing the remainder of his natural life-span, makes this remark that clearly reflects that he has feelings for the beauty of nature:

¹⁶⁵Then, when it was morning, the Blessed One dressed and, taking bowl and robe, entered Vesālī for alms. Having walked in Vesālī for alms, he had his meal, after which he addressed the venerable Ānanda:

¹⁶³ One sees the arhat's natural goodness in that he does not even have the notions of "great vehicle" ($mah\bar{a}y\bar{a}na$) or "low vehicle" ($h\bar{i}na,y\bar{a}na$), terms that clearly reflect complexes of superiority, inferiority and equality.

¹⁶⁴ In **Metta,sahagata S** (S 46.54.12-13/S 5:119), SD 10.11 (2) & **Tikaṇḍaki S** (A 5.144.2/3:169), SD 2.12, where they are explained in some detail. In both cases, however, the sequence of the perceptions is 2, 1, 4, 3, 5. For further details, see **Paṭisambhidā,magga** (Pm 22.26/2:212 f) and Vism 12.36/381 f.

¹⁶⁵ This episode, which immediately precedes the Buddha's relinquishment of the remainder of his lifespan at the Cāpāla shrine (D 16.3.1-10/2:102 f), is also found in **Cetiya S** (S 51.10/5:258-263)—SA 3:251-255 parallel DA

"Bring along a mat, Ānanda. We will go to the Cāpāla Shrine for the midday rest. 166

"Yes, bhante," the venerable Ānanda replied in assent to the Blessed One. Holding the mat, the venerable Ānanda followed the Blessed One as he walked.

Then the Blessed One, having arrived at the Cāpāla Shrine, sat down on the prepared seat. Then the venerable Ānanda, having saluted the Buddha, sat down at one side. When the venerable Ānanda was thus seated at one side, the Blessed One said this to him:

"Ānanda, <u>delightful¹⁶⁷ is Vesālī</u>, <u>delightful is the Udena Shrine</u>, <u>delightful is the Gotamaka Shrine</u>, <u>delightful is the Satt'ambaka Shrine [of the Seven Women]</u>, <u>delightful is the Bahu,puttaka Shrine [of Many Sons]</u>, <u>delightful is the Cāpāla Shrine</u>. (D 16,3.1-2/2:102), SD 9

7.6 PARIVĪMAMSANA SUTTA

The Parivīmamsana Sutta (S 12.51) describes the liberation of the arhat who <u>understands the true</u> nature of feeling in these words: ¹⁶⁸

14 When he neither creates nor forms¹⁶⁹ volitional formation, he does not cling to anything in the world. Not clinging to anything in the world, he is not agitated. ¹⁷⁰ Not agitated, he attains nirvana by himself. ¹⁷¹ He understands,

"Destroyed is birth. The holy life has been lived. What needs to be done has been done. There is (for me) no more of arising in any state of being." 172

15 If he feels a pleasant feeling, he understands, "It is impermanent"; he understands, "It is not hankered after"; he understands, "It is not delighted in." 173

<u>If he feels a painful feeling</u>, he understands, "It is impermanent"; he understands, "It is not hankered after"; he understands, "It is not delighted in."

<u>If he feels a neutral feeling</u>, he understands, "It is impermanent"; he understands, "It is not hankered after"; he understands, "It is not delighted in."

- 16 <u>If he feels a pleasant feeling</u>, he feels it in a detached manner. ¹⁷⁴ If he feels a painful feeling, he feels it a detached manner. If he feels a neutral feeling, he feels it a detached manner.
- 17 When he feels a feeling ending with the body, he understands, "I feel a feeling ending with the body." ¹⁷⁵

2:554-558—and **Āyu,sama S** (U 6.1/62-64), commented on at UA 322-330; also at Nett 60. See **Mahā,parinibbā-na S** (D 16.3.1-2), SD 9 for nn.

¹⁶⁶ "For the midday rest," *diva*, *vihārāya*. The term *diva*, *vihāra*, lit "day residence" has sometimes been tr as "siesta" (D:W 246),but the word suggests that it is a time for a nap. The Buddha often speaks against monastics sleeping in the day time (D 3:185*; cf V 1:295). There are many references to monks and nuns resting in meditation during such a midday rest (S 1:128 f, 130, 131 f).

¹⁶⁷ "Delightful," *ramaṇ̄v̄a*, alt tr "beautiful, charming." This shows that the Buddha appreciates natural beauty, here def as any quality that conduces to mental peace, stability and clarity uninfluenced by greed, hate or delusion. ¹⁶⁸ S 12.51.14-18/2:82 f @ SD 11.5.

¹⁶⁹ "When he neither creates nor forms," *anabhisankharanto anabhisancetayanto*. The word *abhisancetayati* means "he thinks out, plans."

[&]quot;He is not agitated," *na paritassati*. See SD 11.5(§14) for nn.

¹⁷¹ "By himself," *paccattain*, ie, through his own effort, not through the power of another. (SA 2:78)

¹⁷² This quote is the arhat's reviewing knowledge (*paccavekkana*, *ñāṇa*), for which, see S:B I n376.

¹⁷³ Comy: After the arhat's reviewing knowledge has been shown, this passage then shows his constant dwelling ($satata,vih\bar{a}ra$). (SA 2:78). From hereon to the end, the text recurs with a different simile (that of an oil-lamp) in **Assaji S** (S 22.88.22-25/3:126) = **Gelañña S 1** (S 36.7.9-12/4:213) = **Gelañña S 2** (S 36.8.7-12/4:213) = **Dīpa S** (S 54.8.30-31/5:319 f).

^{174 &}quot;Detached," visaññuto, alt tr "unyoked."

¹⁷⁵ "A feeling ending with the body," *kāya,pariyantikain vedanain*. Comy: This means delimited by the body. As long as the body with its five sense-doors continues, the feelings arising there continue. (SA 2:78).

When he feels a feeling ending with life, he understands, "I feel a feeling ending with life." He understands, "With the breaking up of the body following the ending of life, all that is felt, not delighted in, will be cooled right here ¹⁷⁷—only physical bodies ¹⁷⁸ will remain."

18 Bhikshus, just as a person would remove a hot clay pot from the potter's kiln 179 and place it on level ground so that its heat would dissipate right there, leaving only earthern ware, 180 even so, bhikshus,

when a monk feels a feeling ending with the body, he understands, "I feel a feeling ending with the body."

When he feels a feeling ending with life, he understands, "I feel a feeling ending with life." He understands, "With the breaking up of the body following the ending of life, all that is felt, not delighted in, will be cooled right here—only physical bodies will remain."

(S 12.51,14-18/2:82 f), SD 11.5

7.7 (SAMYOJANA) KOŢŢHITA SUTTA

7.7.1 In **the Koṭṭhita Sutta** (S 35.232), Sāriputta explains to Mahā Koṭṭhita that the Buddha has all the sense-faculties—eye, ear, nose, tongue, body and mind—and <u>he experiences all the sense-objects</u>,

...yet there is no desire and lust in the Blessed One. The Blessed One is well liberated in mind. In this way, friend, it can be understood how (the sense-faculty) is not the fetter of (sense-objects), nor (sense-objects) the fetter of (the sense-faculty), but rather the desire and lust that arises there in dependence on both is the fetter there. (S 35.232/4:163 f), SD 28.4

7.7.2 While it would be wrong, as a matter of fact, to say that arhats, and even the Buddha, *have* feelings, it is correct to say that all the three kinds of feeling do *arise* in them, not due to their past or present karmic formations, but simply as a matter of course due to their lingering five aggregates (their form, feeling, perception, formations and consciousness). The saints, especially the arhats, as far as we know from the Suttas, lead profoundly happy lives, and they live on for only one purpose: <u>for the benefit of the unawakened</u>—a role latter attributed to, or rather appropriated by, the Mahāyāna Bodhisattva. ¹⁸¹

_

¹⁷⁶ "A feeling ending with life," *jīvita,pariyantikam vedanam*. Comy: This means delimited by life. As long as life continues, the feelings arising at the mind-door continue. (SA 2:79).

[&]quot;Will be cooled right here," *idh'eva...sīti,bhavissati*. Comy: They will be cooled right here, without having gone elsewhere by way of rebirth, subject to no further occurrence, without the struggle and distress of their occurrence. (SA 2:80).

¹⁷⁸ "Physical bodies," *sarīrāni*, sg *sarīra*. Comy glosses it as *dhātu,sarīrāni*, "bodily elements," ie, bones (*aṭṭhika,-kaṅkala*, SAPT).

¹⁷⁹ **The pot simile**. Comy: The potter's fiery kiln represents the three realms of existence; the potter is the meditator; and his rod the knowledge of the path to arhathood. The level ground represents nirvana. The time when the potter removes the hot clay pot from the kiln and places it on the ground is like when the meditator, having attained the supreme fruit of arhathood, removes his individual form from the four realms of misery and places it on nirvana's plain through the attainment of fruition. Just like a clay pot (is not baked at once or is broken at once), even so the arhat does not attain (final) nirvana on the same day as he attains arhathood. He lives on for 40 or 50 years striving to sustain the Buddha's Dispensation. When he reaches his last thought-moment, with the break-up of the aggregates, he attains the nirvana-element without residue. Then, as with the potsherds, only inanimate bodily remains are left behind. (S 2:80 f). For the lamp parable, see **Parivīmaṁsana S** (S 12.51/2:80-84), SD 11.5 (2).

¹⁸⁰ "Earthernware," *kapallāni*, also "pot, bowl, pan." S:B (see also 766 n140) has "potsherds" which does not seem to fit here although Comy uses it in the sense of "potsherds" here (SA 2:80).

¹⁸¹ It should be noted that in the Mahāyāna, the term *bodhi*, *sattva* is taken in two broad senses: (1) as the ideal of Buddhahood that is regarded as the best kind of spiritual awakening to aspire to, and (2) hypostates or embodiments of various noble qualities (compassion, as in Avalokiteśvara) or Buddha-events (the great awakening, as in Amitābha, ie, the light symbolism). Very often such developments were the result of external socio-religious challenges.

7.8 BEYOND FEELING

7.8.1 At the close of **the Pañcak'aṅga Sutta** (S 36.19), the Buddha, after mentioning the various classifications of feelings, speaks of the levels of dhyanic bliss up to those of the formless dhyanas up to the cessation of perception and feeling. He goes on to declare that <u>he experiences a greater bliss even beyond that</u>:

Now it is possible, Ānanda, that outside wanderers might say thus:

"The ascetic Gotama speaks of the cessation of perception and feeling, and he declares that it is included in happiness. What is that? How is that?"

When outside wanderers say thus, Ānanda, they should be told thus:

"The Blessed One, friends, does not describe a state as being included in happiness only with reference to pleasant feeling. But rather, friends, wherever there is happiness and in whatever way, the Tathagata describes that as being included in happiness." (S 36.19,21/4:228), SD 30.1

7.8.2 The Commentary explains that "cessation" (*nirodha*) is called happiness in the sense that it is <u>unfelt happiness</u> (*avedayita,sukha*, or happiness of non-feeling). While <u>felt happiness</u> (*vedayita,sukha*) arises through the cords of sense-pleasures and the 8 meditative attainments, cessation is <u>unfelt happiness</u>. Whether it is felt or not, it is exclusively happiness in that happiness consists in the absence of suffering (*niddukkha,bhāva*). (SA 3:80)

7.8.3 A similar sentiment, on a more mundane level, is later expressed in English poetry. After viewing the Elgin Marble in the British Museum in 1817, the English poet, John Keats (1795–1821), wrote:

Heard melodies are sweet, but those unheard
Are sweeter; therefore, ye soft pipes, play on;
Not to the sensual ear, but, more endear'd,
Pipe to the spirit ditties of no tone. "Ode to a Grecian Urn" (1817)

7.8.4 The (Sāriputta) Nibbāna Sutta (A 9.34) opens with Sāriputta exulting: "This nirvana, avuso, is happiness! This nirvana, avuso, is happiness!" (*sukham idam āvuso nibbānam*, *sukham idam āvuso nibbānam*). Udāyi then asks him how there can be happiness when there is no feeling. Sāriputta replies:

Indeed, avuso, it is just that: where nothing is felt, that is truly happiness! etad eva khv-ettha āvuso sukham, yad ettha n'atthi vedayitam (A 9.34/4:414 f)

Sāriputta goes on to mention the various levels of happiness beginning with sense-pleasures, through the dhyanas, up to the cessation of feeling and perception, and one seeing the last is an arhat. In short, the happiness of the arhat is beyond any feeling that the worldling knows.

_ _ _

104

¹⁸² "The happiness of non-feeling" here means that the happiness is not dependent upon feeling: a feeling-free happiness. Yet, it is not a "joy of unfeeling." As such, the arhats are profoundly compassionate.

Bibliography

[For other titles, see SD 17.1, biblio.]

Anālayo

2003 Satipaṭṭhāna: The direct path to realization. Kandy: Buddhist Publication Society, 2003.

2011 "The development of insight—A study of the U Ba Khin Vipassanā Meditation Tradition as taught by S N Goenka in comparison with insight teachings in the early discourses." Fuyan Buddhist

Studies 6, 2011:151-174.

Blackmore, Susan

2003 <u>Consciousness: An Introduction,</u> London, Hodder & Stoughton, 2003 pb ISBN: 0340809094. New

York, Oxford University Press, 2004. hb ISBN 0195153421 pb ISBN 019515343X

Bodhi, Bhikkhu

1978 The Discourse on the All-embracing Net of Views: The Brahmajāla Sutta and its Commentarial

Exegesis. [D 1 tr from the Pali.] Kandy: Buddhist Publication Society, 1978.

Brahmavamso, Ajahn

2003b "Paticca-samuppāda: Dependent origination." Dhamma Journal 4,2 July 2003:49-83.

Bucknell, Roderick S

1999 "Conditioned Arising Evolves: Variation and change in textual accounts of the *Paţicca-samuppāda*

doctrine." Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies 22,2 1999:311-342.

de Silva, Lily

1984 "Self-identification and associated problems." In Buddhist Studies in Honour of Hammalava

Saddhātissa, ed Gatare Dhammapala, Richard Gombrich & KR Norman. Nugegoda: Hammalava Saddhātissa Felicitation Volume Committee, University of Sri Jayawardenapura, 1984:69-76. On the

five aggregates.

"Experience," in Encyclopaedia of Buddhism, Sri Lanka, 1990, 5:189-191.

De Silva, Padmasiri

"Theoretical perspectives on emotions in early Buddhism," in *Emotions in Asian Thought: A*

dialogue in comparative philosophy, ed Joel Marks & Roger T Ames, NY: State University of

New York Press, 1995:109-120.

Dreyfus, Georges

"Meditation as ethical activity." *Journal of Buddhist Ethics* 2 1995:28-54.

Drummond, Michael S

"Conceptualizing the efficacy of *Vipassanā* meditation as taught by SN Goenka." *Buddhist Studies*

Review 23,1 2006:113-130.

Ekman, Paul; RD Davidson, M Ricard & B Alan Wallace

2005 "Buddhist and psychological perspectives on emotions and well-being." Current Directions in

Psychological Science 14,2 2005:59-63.

Hamilton, Sue [Susan]

1996a Identity and Experience: The constitution of the human being according to early Buddhism. London:

Luzac Oriental, 1996. See esp pp1-41 (ch 1) & pp121-137 (ch 6).

2003 Early Buddhism: A new approach. The I of the Beholder. Richmond, Surrey: Curzon, 2003.

Karunadasa, Y

2001 "The Abhidhamma version of the theory of perception." *The Middle Way* 75,4 Feb 2001: 211-220.

Katz, Nathan

"Does the 'cessation of the world' entail the cessation of emotions? The psychology of the ara-

hant.' Pali Buddhist Review 4,3 1979:53-65.

Nānananda, Bhikkhu

1971 Concept and Reality in Early Buddhist Thought: An essay on 'Papañca' and 'Papañca'

saññā, sankhā'. Kandy: Buddhist Publication Society, 1971.

Nyanaponika Thera

1983 (Tr) Contemplation of Feeling: The Discourse Group on the Feelings (Vedanā-Samyutta). With

Introd. Wheel 303-304. Kandy: Buddhist Publication Society, 1983.

Premasiri, PD

"Emotion," in Encyclopaedia of Buddhism, Sri Lanka, 1990, 5:57-64.

Reat, NR

"Some fundamental concepts of Buddhist psychology." *Religion* 17, 1987:15-28.

Salkin, Sean

2005 "A survey of the use of the term *vedanā* ("sensations") in the Pali Nikāyas." Thesis for Masters in

Philosophy, Univ of Sydney, Australia, 2005.

http://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/bitstream/2123/2075/2/02whole.pdf

Sarachchandra, Ediriwira [ER]

 $1958 \longrightarrow 1994$

1994 Buddhist Psychology of Perception. [1958] 2nd ed. Dehiwala: Buddhist Cultural Centre, 1994.

Sujato, Ajahn

2004b A History of Mindfulness [Rev ed of "GIST: The hidden structure of the Buddha's Teachings" & "A

history of mindfulness." Unexpurgated draft. 2004. 242 pp.

Vipassana Research Institute (VRI)

1990 The Importance of Vedanā and Sampajaññā. [1st ed]; 2nd ed 2002 (qv).

2002 The Importance of Vedanā and Sampajaññā. [1990]. Papers of seminar, Dhamma Giri, Igatpuri,

Feb 1990. 2nd ed. Igatpuri: Vipassana Research Institute, 2002.

051118 061113 070924 081031 091001 101108 111211 130914 140428 150526 160516 170222